

EXAMPLES OF EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS IN ODD DIMENSIONS

DEZHONG CHEN

ABSTRACT. We construct Einstein metrics of non-positive scalar curvature on certain solid torus bundles over a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold. We show, among other things, that the negative Einstein metrics are conformally compact, and the Ricci-flat metrics have slower-than-Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay. Also we construct positive Einstein metrics on certain 3-sphere bundles over a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold. We classify the homeomorphism and diffeomorphism types of the total spaces when the base is the complex projective plane.

1. INTRODUCTION

An *Einstein* manifold is a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold whose Ricci tensor is proportional to the metric tensor [4], i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ric}(g) = \lambda g.$$

From the analytic point of view, the Einstein equation (1.1) is a complicated non-linear system of partial differential equations, and it is hard to prove the existence of Einstein metrics on an arbitrary manifold. For example, it is still unknown whether every closed manifold of dimension greater than 4 carries at least one Einstein metric [4, 0.21]. Thus people turn to studying Einstein manifolds with large isometry group, e.g., when the isometry group acts on the manifold transitively, and hence (1.1) reduces to a system of algebraic equations, or when the isometry group acts on the manifold with principal orbits of codimension one, and hence (1.1) reduces to a system of ordinary differential equations. In both cases, it becomes much more manageable to establish some existence results for Einstein metrics (see the surveys [4, Chap 7] and [30, §§2,4]). Recent progress in this direction includes the variational approach to study homogenous Einstein metrics by several authors (see [21] in the noncompact case, and [5] in the compact case).

Another natural simplification of (1.1) is to impose the Einstein condition on the total space of a *Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers* [4, 9.61]. One major obstacle in this setup arises from the existence of Yang-Mills connections with curvature form of constant norm. In general, it is still unknown when this necessary condition is satisfied. However, if the structure group of the underlying fiber bundle is a compact *torus* and the base is closed, then the curvature form of a principal connection is the pullback of a harmonic 2-form on the base. This observation leads people to consider principal torus bundles over products of Kähler-Einstein manifolds and their associated fiber bundles. In these cases, the harmonic 2-forms are rational linear combinations of the Ricci forms. Many interesting Einstein metrics have been discovered on these spaces, among which is the first inhomogeneous Einstein metric with positive scalar curvature constructed by Page [26] on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$, i.e., the nontrivial 2-sphere bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Later on, the method of Page was extended by several authors to construct positive Hermitian-Einstein metrics on certain 2-sphere

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25.

Key words and phrases. Conformally compact Einstein manifold, Q -curvature, Kreck-Stolz invariant.

bundles over products of Fano Kähler-Einstein manifolds [3, 27, 29]. On the other hand, Koiso and Sakane [16] were able to construct the first inhomogeneous Fano Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain 2-sphere bundles over two copies of the same Fano Kähler-Einstein manifolds. Note that all the aforementioned Einstein metrics live on the 2-sphere bundles associated with a principal *circle* bundle. We refer the reader to [4, Chap 9] and [30, §§1,3] for more details.

In this paper, we focus on constructing smooth Einstein metrics on the solid torus bundles and the 3-sphere bundles associated with a principal 2-*torus* bundle over a single Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold. Note that our principal 2-torus bundles are products of S^1 with a principal circle bundle (see Proposition 2.1).

First we consider the associated solid torus bundles. In general, given a principal 2-torus bundle P , we can construct a solid torus bundle $T(P) = P \times_{S^1 \times S^1} (B^2 \times S^1)$ via the left action of 2-torus $S^1 \times S^1$ on solid torus $B^2 \times S^1$

$$(e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) \cdot (re^{ix}, e^{iy}) = (re^{i(x+\alpha)}, e^{i(y+\beta)}).$$

Our first result demonstrates the existence of *conformally compact* Einstein (CCE) metrics on $T(P)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let V be a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold with first Chern class pa , where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $a \in H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$ is an indivisible class. For $q = (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with $|q_2| > 0$, let P_q be the principal 2-torus bundle over V with characteristic classes (q_1a, q_2a) . Then there exist a two-parameter family of CCE metrics on $T(P_q)$.*

Remark 1.2. In the case of $q_2 = 0$ and $|q_1| > p$, we constructed in [8, Theorem 1.4] a one-parameter family of CCE metrics on $T(P_q)$.

Remark 1.3. All the CCE manifolds Theorem 1.1 yields are of odd dimensions, among which the lowest-dimensional ones are some nontrivial solid torus bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

Remark 1.4. By Theorem 1.1, the moduli space of CCE structures on $T(P_q)$ is nonempty. Then it must be a smooth infinite-dimensional Banach manifold (see [2, §2]).

A CCE manifold is a complete noncompact Einstein manifold which is conformal to the interior of a compact Riemannian manifold-with-boundary [13, 1, 8]. The conformal boundary of the bulk space is called the *conformal infinity*. The fundamental link between the global geometry of a CCE manifold and the conformal geometry of its conformal infinity lies in the asymptotic expansion of its volume function w.r.t. the *geodesic* defining function determined by a choice of representative for the conformal infinity. In odd dimensions, the expansion has a logarithmic term whose coefficient turns out to be independent of the choice of representative, and is identified with a constant multiple of the total Q -curvature of the conformal infinity [14, 11]. Moreover, the pointwise Q -curvature can be read off from the asymptotic behavior of a certain formal solution to a Poisson equation on the CCE manifold [11, Theorem 4.1]. Applying these general results to the CCE manifolds in Theorem 1.1 reveals that

Theorem 1.5. *The conformal infinity of every CCE manifold in Theorem 1.1 has a Q -flat representative, i.e., a metric with constant zero Q -curvature.*

Remark 1.6. It is worth mentioning that the same feature holds true for all the odd-dimensional CCE manifolds in [8] (cf. [8, Theorem 1.10]).

Remark 1.7. As an analogue to the well-known Yamabe problem, one may wonder whether every conformal class of metrics on an even-dimensional closed manifold has a representative with constant Q -curvature. We refer the reader to [7, 6, 25, 9] for some generic existence results for constant Q -curvature metrics.

Our second result demonstrates the existence of complete Ricci-flat metrics on $T(P)$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let V be a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold with first Chern class pa , where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $a \in H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$ is an indivisible class. For $q = (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with $|q_2| > 0$, let P_q be the principal 2-torus bundle over V with characteristic classes (q_1a, q_2a) . Then there exist a two-parameter family of complete Ricci-flat metrics on $T(P_q)$.*

By the Bishop volume comparison theorem, a complete noncompact Ricci-flat manifold can have at most Euclidean volume growth. It can be shown that all the Ricci-flat manifolds in Theorem 1.8 have slower-than-Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay (cf. [22]).

Now we turn to the associated 3-sphere bundles. In general, given a principal 2-torus bundle P , we can construct a 3-sphere bundle $S(P) = P \times_{S^1 \times S^1} S^3$ via the left action of 2-torus $S^1 \times S^1$ on unit 3-sphere $S^3(1) = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\}$

$$(e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (e^{i\alpha}z_1, e^{i\beta}z_2).$$

Our third result demonstrates the existence of positive Einstein metrics on $S(P)$.

Theorem 1.9. *Let V be a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold with first Chern class pa , where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $a \in H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$ is an indivisible class. For $q = (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with $|q_1| > |q_2| > 0$, let P_q be the principal 2-torus bundle over V with characteristic classes (q_1a, q_2a) . Then there exists a smooth positive Einstein metric on $S(P_q)$.*

Remark 1.10. In the case of $q_2 = 0$ and $0 < |q_1| < p$, Lü, Page and Pope [23, §3.3.2] constructed a smooth positive Einstein metric on $S(P_q)$.

Remark 1.11. A special case of Theorem 1.9, where the base V is $\mathbb{C}P^1$, was obtained by Hashimoto, Sakaguchi and Yasui [15, Theorem 1] in a different way.

Remark 1.12. Let ω be a primitive r th root of unity, and let s be an integer coprime to r . The cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_r \subset S^1 \times S^1$ of order r , generated by (ω, ω^s) , acts freely on S^3 from the right

$$(z_1, z_2) \cdot (\omega, \omega^s) = (z_1\omega, z_2\omega^s).$$

It is clear from our construction that $\mathbb{Z}_r$ acts by isometry on the Einstein manifolds in Theorem 1.9. The quotient manifolds, which are lens space bundles, inherit positive Einstein metrics.

It is an interesting but difficult problem to study the moduli spaces of Einstein structures on the above 3-sphere bundles. A first step in this direction is a diffeomorphism classification of the total spaces of these 3-sphere bundles. By comparing the Einstein constants of Einstein metrics with unit volume on diffeomorphic total spaces, we may gain some information about the number of components of the moduli space.

The simplest case is that the base V is S^2 . There are only two 3-sphere bundles over S^2 up to diffeomorphism [28, §26]. The total space of the trivial one is the product manifold $S^2 \times S^3$ which is spin, while the total space of the nontrivial one is the twisted manifold $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^3$ which is non-spin. In Theorem 1.9, when $q_1 + q_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, the total space is spin, and hence is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^3$, while when $q_1 + q_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, the total space is non-spin, and hence is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^3$. It is well-known that the moduli space of Einstein structures on either manifold has infinitely many components [12, §2.2].

The situation becomes much more involved when the base V has higher dimensions. We succeed in classifying the total spaces only when V is $\mathbb{C}P^2$. In this case, the total space W_q of the associated 3-sphere bundle $S(P_q)$ is a simply-connected, closed 7-manifold with $H^2(W_q; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $H^3(W_q; \mathbb{Z}) =$

0, and $H^4(W_q; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{|q_1 q_2|}$ generated by u^2 , where u is a generator of $H^2(W_q; \mathbb{Z})$. So $|q_1 q_2| = |\hat{q}_1 \hat{q}_2|$ is a necessary condition for W_q to be homotopic to $W_{\hat{q}}$. Furthermore, we can apply the classification result of Kreck and Stolz [17, 18] to show that

Theorem 1.13. *Assume $K = q_1 q_2$ and $L = q_1^2 + q_2^2$.*

- (1) *When $K = -\hat{K}$, W_q is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to $W_{\hat{q}}$ iff $|K| = 1$.*
- (2) *When $K = \hat{K}$, W_q is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to $W_{\hat{q}}$ iff $L \equiv \hat{L} \pmod{2^{4-\mu(L)} \times 3K}$ (and $L + 3^{\mu(L)}[\frac{L+1}{2}]^2 \equiv \hat{L} + 3^{\mu(\hat{L})}[\frac{\hat{L}+1}{2}]^2 \pmod{2^5 \times 3^{\mu(L)} \times 7K}$), where*

$$\mu(L) = \begin{cases} 0, & L \text{ is odd;} \\ 1, & L \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.14. W_q is not homotopic to any Aloff-Wallach space M . The argument goes as follows. Note that M is spin, and $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a finite cyclic group of odd order. For W_q to be spin, $q_1 + q_2$ has to be odd (see Lemma 6.26). So $q_1 q_2$ is even, and $H^4(W_q; \mathbb{Z})$ is of even order. However, we do not know whether W_q can be homeomorphic to any Eschenburg space. Note that the Kreck-Stolz invariants for a certain type of Eschenburg spaces can be found in [20].

Theorem 1.13 provides infinitely many pairs of homeomorphic manifolds which are not diffeomorphic, as well as infinitely many pairs of diffeomorphic manifolds. For instance,

Example 1.15 (Spin case). *For $r = 48s + 1$, $W_{(1,r(r+1))}$ and $W_{(r,r+1)}$ are homeomorphic. They are diffeomorphic iff $s \equiv 0, 3, 4, 6 \pmod{7}$.*

Example 1.16 (Non-spin case). *For $r = 24s + 1$, $W_{(2,2r(r+1))}$ and $W_{(2r,2(r+1))}$ are homeomorphic. They are diffeomorphic iff $s = 4t$ with $t \equiv 0, 1, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$.*

Remark 1.17. Given a pair of diffeomorphic manifolds as in Examples 1.15 and 1.16, Theorem 1.9 asserts the existence of two positive Einstein metrics with unit volume on the underlying smooth manifold. But it is hard to verify whether they have the same Einstein constants or not (cf. Remark 6.23). We believe that the Einstein constants should be different in general. Thus the moduli space of Einstein structures would have more than one component.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In §2, we discuss a class of principal 2-torus bundles over a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold from both topological and geometric viewpoints. In §3, we compute Ricci curvatures of the warped product of an open interval and a principal 2-torus bundle studied in §2, and reduce the Einstein equation on the product to a system of ODEs. In §4, we find exact solutions to a subsystem of the Einstein system derived in §3. In §5, we construct complete non-positive Einstein metrics on associated solid torus bundles. After that comes a proof of Theorem 1.5. In §6, we construct positive Einstein metrics on associated 3-sphere bundles. We end the paper with a detailed calculation of characteristic classes of the 3-sphere bundles and the 4-ball bundles they bound, which leads to an argument for Theorem 1.13.

2. PRINCIPAL 2-TORUS BUNDLES

This section is devoted to a brief discussion of the topological and geometric properties of principal 2-torus bundles involved in Theorems 1.1 and 1.9 (see [31] for more details).

2.1. Topology. Let (V^{2n}, J, h) be a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold of complex dimension $n > 0$. Assume that the first Chern class $c_1(V, J) = pa$, where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the Einstein constant of h , i.e., $\text{Ric}(h) = ph$, and $a \in H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$ is an indivisible class. Notice that $H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free as V is simply-connected.

Let T^2 be 2-dimensional compact torus. We decompose once and for all T^2 as a product $S^1 \times S^1$, and choose a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ for its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^2$. Thus the set of isomorphism classes of principal T^2 -bundles over V is identified with $[V, \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty]$, i.e., the set of homotopy classes of maps from V to $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$. Since $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ is the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, 2)$, $[V, \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty]$ is isomorphic to $H^2(V; \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore a principal T^2 -bundle over V is classified by a pair of characteristic classes $(v_1, v_2) \in H^2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$.

The following describes how to construct the principal T^2 -bundle classified by a given pair of characteristic classes. For $v_i \in H^2(V; \mathbb{Z})$, let P_i be the principal S^1 -bundle over V with Euler class v_i . Their Cartesian product $P_1 \times P_2$ is a principal T^2 -bundle over $V \times V$. The pullback bundle P of $P_1 \times P_2$ by the diagonal map $V \rightarrow V \times V$ is the principal T^2 -bundle over V with characteristic classes (v_1, v_2) .

Observe that T^2 has a large automorphism group $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. This fact provides a simple way to construct new principal T^2 -bundles out of old ones as follows. Given a principal T^2 -bundle P with characteristic classes (v_1, v_2) , we can change the T^2 -action on P via an element $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, say $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

$$\begin{aligned} A : S^1 \times S^1 &\rightarrow S^1 \times S^1 \\ (e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) &\mapsto (e^{i(A_{11}\alpha + A_{12}\beta)}, e^{i(A_{21}\alpha + A_{22}\beta)}) \end{aligned}$$

This yields a new principal T^2 -bundle $\tilde{P}$ with characteristic classes $(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)$, where $\tilde{v}_j = (A^{-1})_{jk} v_k$. Notice that P and $\tilde{P}$ have the same manifold as their total spaces.

From now on, we will focus on a special class of principal T^2 -bundles over V , whose characteristic classes are both integral multiples of a . Given $q = (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, let P_q be the principal T^2 -bundle over V with characteristic classes $(q_1 a, q_2 a)$. Denote by q_0 the greatest common divisor of q_1 and q_2 , and let P_{q_0} be the principal S^1 -bundle over V with Euler class $q_0 a$. We have

Proposition 2.1. *The total spaces of P_q and $P_{q_0} \times S^1$ are diffeomorphic to each other.*

Proof. Bézout's identity asserts the existence of integers r_1 and r_2 such that $q_1 r_1 + q_2 r_2 = q_0$. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} q_1/q_0 & -r_2 \\ q_2/q_0 & r_1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Changing the T^2 -action on P_q via A gives rise to a new principal T^2 -bundle $\tilde{P}$ over V with characteristic classes $(q_0 a, 0)$. But $P_{q_0} \times S^1$ is also a principal T^2 -bundle over V with characteristic classes $(q_0 a, 0)$. Thus $\tilde{P}$ is diffeomorphic to $P_{q_0} \times S^1$. The proposition follows from the fact that P_q and $\tilde{P}$ have the same total space. $\square$

2.2. Geometry. Let $\hat{\pi}_i : P_{q_i} \rightarrow V$ be the principal S^1 -bundle with Euler class $q_i a$, $i = 1, 2$. There is a principal connection θ^i on P_{q_i} with curvature form $\Omega_i = \hat{\pi}_i^* \eta \otimes q_i e_i$, where η is the Kähler form associated with h . If we denote by $\tilde{e}_i$ the global vertical vector field on P_{q_i} generated by e_i , i.e.,

$$\tilde{e}_i(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (x \cdot \exp(te_i)), \quad \forall x \in P_{q_i},$$

then $\theta^i(\tilde{e}_i) = e_i$.

Recall that the principal T^2 -bundle $\hat{\pi} : P_q \rightarrow V$ is the pullback bundle of the Cartesian product $\hat{\pi}_1 \times \hat{\pi}_2 : P_{q_1} \times P_{q_2} \rightarrow V \times V$ via the diagonal map $V \rightarrow V \times V$. Thus a principal connection θ on P_q is given by the pullback of $\theta^1 \times \theta^2$, and its curvature form is $\Omega = \hat{\pi}^* \eta \otimes (q_1 e_1 + q_2 e_2)$.

Let $B = (b_{ij})$ be an arbitrary positive-definite 2×2 symmetric matrix. It induces a left-invariant metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ on T^2 given by $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_B = b_{ij}$. Consider now a bundle metric on P_q

$$(2.1) \quad g = \langle \theta, \theta \rangle_B + c^2 \hat{\pi}^* h = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + c^2 \hat{\pi}^* h,$$

where c is a positive constant, and the convention is $\theta^i(\tilde{e}_j) = \delta_j^i$. Such a choice makes $\hat{\pi} : (P_q, g) \rightarrow (V, c^2 h)$ into a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. More importantly, the curvature form Ω is parallel w.r.t. the base metric. Thus the principal connection θ satisfies the Yang-Mills condition. The relationship of the $(2, 1)$ O'Neill tensor field A (cf. [4, 9.20]) and the curvature form Ω becomes

$$A_X Y = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \eta(\hat{\pi}_*(X), \hat{\pi}_*(Y))(q_1 \tilde{e}_1 + q_2 \tilde{e}_2),$$

where X and Y are arbitrary horizontal vector fields on P_q .

Now we compute the Ricci tensor of (P_q, g) . To do that we choose an orthonormal adapted basis $\{\tilde{E}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n}$ on (V^{2n}, J, h) , i.e., $\tilde{E}_{n+j} = J\tilde{E}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and let E_i be the unique horizontal vector field on P_q such that $\hat{\pi}_*(E_i) = \tilde{E}_i$. This gives us a standard basis $\{\tilde{e}_i, E_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 2n}$ on P_q . In terms of such a basis, we have [4, 9.36]

Lemma 2.2. *The non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor of (P_q, g) are*

$$\text{Ric}(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) = \frac{n}{2c^4} U_i U_j, \quad \text{Ric}(E_k, E_l) = (p - \frac{\Delta}{2c^2}) \delta_{kl},$$

with $U = (U_1, U_2) = (q_1 b_{11} + q_2 b_{12}, q_1 b_{12} + q_2 b_{22})$ and $\Delta = q_1 U_1 + q_2 U_2 = q_1^2 b_{11} + 2q_1 q_2 b_{12} + q_2^2 b_{22}$.

Remark 2.3. $\Delta = qBq^T > 0$ since B is positive-definite, and $q = (q_1, q_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

Let $\widetilde{\text{Ric}}$ be the Ricci endomorphism of TP_q , i.e., $g(\widetilde{\text{Ric}}(X), Y) = \text{Ric}(X, Y)$, for $X, Y \in TP_q$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

Lemma 2.4.

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}}(\hat{e}_1) = \frac{n\Delta}{2c^4} \hat{e}_1, \quad \widetilde{\text{Ric}}(\hat{e}_2) = 0, \quad \widetilde{\text{Ric}}(E_i) = (\frac{p}{c^2} - \frac{\Delta}{2c^4}) E_i,$$

where $\hat{e}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}}(q_1 \tilde{e}_1 + q_2 \tilde{e}_2)$ and $\hat{e}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\Delta}}(U_2 \tilde{e}_1 - U_1 \tilde{e}_2)$ are orthonormal vertical vector fields, and $\alpha = \det B = b_{11}b_{22} - b_{12}^2$. The scalar curvature of g is

$$R(g) = \text{tr}(\widetilde{\text{Ric}}) = \frac{2n}{c^2} (p - \frac{\Delta}{4c^2}).$$

Finally we derive a useful formula for b_{ij} involving α , Δ and U_i . Observe that the dual coframe of $\{\tilde{e}_i\}$ consists of $\hat{\omega}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}}(U_1 \theta^1 + U_2 \theta^2)$ and $\hat{\omega}^2 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\Delta}}(q_2 \theta^1 - q_1 \theta^2)$, i.e., $\hat{\omega}^i(\tilde{e}_j) = \delta_j^i$. In terms of $\{\hat{\omega}^i\}$, the vertical components of the metric g (2.1) takes a diagonal form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 b_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j = \hat{\omega}^1 \otimes \hat{\omega}^1 + \hat{\omega}^2 \otimes \hat{\omega}^2.$$

Comparing the coefficients on both sides yields

Lemma 2.5.

$$b_{11} = \frac{U_1^2 + q_2^2 \alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{12} = \frac{U_1 U_2 - q_1 q_2 \alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{22} = \frac{U_2^2 + q_1^2 \alpha}{\Delta}.$$

3. A FAMILY OF PRINCIPAL T^2 -BUNDLES

Let P_q be a principal T^2 -bundle as in §2, and let $T(P_q)$ and $S(P_q)$ be respectively the associated solid torus bundle and 3-sphere bundle as in §1. Notice that there exist diffeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(P_q) &= P_q \times_{S^1 \times S^1} (B^2 \times S^1 \setminus \{\{0\} \times S^1\}) \cong I \times P_q, \\ S_0(P_q) &= P_q \times_{S^1 \times S^1} (S^3 \setminus \{\{0\} \times S^1, S^1 \times \{0\}\}) \cong I \times P_q, \end{aligned}$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval. Thus our strategy for proving Theorems 1.1, 1.8 and 1.9 consists of constructing local metrics on $T_0(P_q)$ and $S_0(P_q)$, and then extending them to be smooth Einstein metrics on $T(P_q)$ and $S(P_q)$ respectively.

In this section, we study the geometry of product $\widehat{M} = I \times P_q$ endowed with metric $\widehat{g} = dt^2 + g_t$, where

$$(3.1) \quad g_t = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b_{ij}(t) \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + c(t)^2 \widehat{\pi}^* h, \quad t \in I,$$

$B(t) = (b_{ij}(t))$ is a smooth one-parameter family of positive-definite 2×2 symmetric matrices, and $c(t)$ is a smooth positive function of t . We will reduce the Einstein equation (1.1) on $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ to a system of ODEs.

Denote by L_t the self-adjoint shape operator of hypersurfaces $\Sigma_t = \{t\} \times P_q$. By definition,

$$L_t X = \widehat{\nabla}_X N, \quad X \in T\Sigma_t,$$

where $\widehat{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $\widehat{g}$, and $N = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the unit normal vector.

Lemma 3.1. *For $X, Y \in T\Sigma_t$, we have*

$$g_t(L_t X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} g'_t(X, Y),$$

where $'$ denotes $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.

Proof. Given a vector field Z on Σ_t , we denote by $\widehat{Z}$ its unique t -independent extension to $\widehat{M}$, such that the Lie bracket $[\widehat{Z}, N] = 0$, i.e., $\widehat{\nabla}_N \widehat{Z} = \widehat{\nabla}_{\widehat{Z}} N$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g'_t(X, Y) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \widehat{g}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}) \\ &= \widehat{g}(\widehat{\nabla}_N \widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}) + \widehat{g}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\nabla}_N \widehat{Y}) \\ &= \widehat{g}(\widehat{\nabla}_{\widehat{X}} N, \widehat{Y}) + \widehat{g}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\nabla}_{\widehat{Y}} N) \\ &= g_t(L_t X, Y) + g_t(X, L_t Y) \\ &= 2g_t(L_t X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *On the standard basis $\{\tilde{e}_i, E_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 2n}$, L_t can be written in a matrix form*

$$(L_t \tilde{e}_1, L_t \tilde{e}_2, L_t E_1, \dots, L_t E_{2n}) = (\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, E_1, \dots, E_{2n}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\Psi & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c'}{c} I_{2n} \end{pmatrix}^T,$$

where $\Psi = B' B^{-1}$, $B^{-1} = (b^{ij})$ is the inverse matrix of B , and I_{2n} is the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix. In particular,

$$\text{tr}(L_t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Psi) + 2n \frac{c'}{c}, \quad \text{tr}(L_t^2) = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(\Psi^2) + 2n \frac{c'^2}{c^2}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.1 and (3.1) that on $T\Sigma_t$

$$(3.2) \quad g_t(L_t(\cdot), \cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b'_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + cc' \widehat{\pi}^* h.$$

Clearly, $g_t(L_t \tilde{e}_i, E_j) = 0$. We need to determine the remaining components.

First, assume that $L_t \tilde{e}_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 \lambda_{ij} \tilde{e}_j$, $i = 1, 2$. By (3.1) and (3.2), we have $\sum_{k=1}^2 \lambda_{ik} b_{kj} = \frac{1}{2} b'_{ij}$. Thus $\lambda_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^2 b'_{ik} b^{kj}$.

Second, assume that $L_t E_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \mu_{ij} E_j$, $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. By (3.1) and (3.2), we have $c^2 \mu_{ij} = cc' \delta_{ij}$. Thus $\mu_{ij} = \frac{c'}{c} \delta_{ij}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *On the standard basis $\{\tilde{e}_i, E_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 2n}$, L'_t can be written in a matrix form*

$$(L'_t \tilde{e}_1, L'_t \tilde{e}_2, L'_t E_1, \dots, L'_t E_{2n}) = (\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, E_1, \dots, E_{2n}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\Psi' & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{c''}{c} - \frac{c'^2}{c^2}) I_{2n} \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

In particular,

$$\text{tr}(L'_t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Psi') + 2n(\frac{c''}{c} - \frac{c'^2}{c^2}).$$

Now we compute the Ricci curvatures of $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$. For vector fields $X, Y, Z \in T\Sigma_t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}(\widehat{R}(X, Y)N, Z) &= \widehat{g}(\widehat{\nabla}_Y \widehat{\nabla}_X N - \widehat{\nabla}_X \widehat{\nabla}_Y N + \widehat{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} N, Z) \\ &= \widehat{g}(\widehat{\nabla}_Y L_t X - \widehat{\nabla}_X L_t Y + L_t[X, Y], Z) \\ &= g_t(\nabla_Y^t L_t X - \nabla_X^t L_t Y + L_t[X, Y], Z), \end{aligned}$$

where ∇^t is the Levi-Civita connection of g_t . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Ric}}(Z, N) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} \widehat{g}(\widehat{R}(Z, \tilde{e}_i)N, \tilde{e}_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} c^{-2} \widehat{g}(\widehat{R}(Z, E_k)N, E_k) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t L_t Z - \nabla_Z^t L_t \tilde{e}_i + L_t[Z, \tilde{e}_i], \tilde{e}_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} c^{-2} g_t(\nabla_{E_k}^t L_t Z - \nabla_Z^t L_t E_k + L_t[Z, E_k], E_k). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. $\widehat{\text{Ric}}(\tilde{e}_l, N) = 0$, $l = 1, 2$.

Proof. Notice that

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}(\tilde{e}_l, N) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t L_t \tilde{e}_l - \nabla_{\tilde{e}_l}^t L_t \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} c^{-2} g_t(\nabla_{E_k}^t L_t \tilde{e}_l - \nabla_{\tilde{e}_l}^t L_t E_k + L_t[\tilde{e}_l, E_k], E_k),$$

where we have used the fact $[\tilde{e}_l, \tilde{e}_i] = 0$ since the fiber of the Riemannian submersion $\hat{\pi} : (\Sigma_t, g_t) \rightarrow (V, c^2 h)$ is an abelian group. But $[\tilde{e}_l, E_k]$ is vertical, so is $L_t[\tilde{e}_l, E_k]$. Thus $g_t(L_t[\tilde{e}_l, E_k], E_k) = 0$.

Claim 3.5. $g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{e}_j) = 0$.

Proof. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{e}_j) &= -g_t(\tilde{e}_r, \nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t \tilde{e}_j) \\ &= -g_t(\tilde{e}_r, \nabla_{\tilde{e}_j}^t \tilde{e}_i) \\ &= g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_j}^t \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{e}_i) \\ &= g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_r}^t \tilde{e}_j, \tilde{e}_i) \\ &= -g_t(\tilde{e}_j, \nabla_{\tilde{e}_r}^t \tilde{e}_i) \\ &= -g_t(\tilde{e}_j, \nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t \tilde{e}_r). \end{aligned}$$

□

It follows from Claim 3.5 that

$$g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t L_t \tilde{e}_l, \tilde{e}_j) = \sum_{r=1}^2 \lambda_{lr} g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{e}_j) = 0.$$

Similarly, we have $g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_l}^t L_t \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(\nabla_{E_k}^t L_t \tilde{e}_l, E_k) &= g_t(A_{E_k}^t L_t \tilde{e}_l, E_k) = -g_t(A_{E_k}^t E_k, L_t \tilde{e}_l) = 0, \\ g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_l}^t L_t E_k, E_k) &= \frac{c'}{c} g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_l}^t E_k, E_k) = \frac{c'}{2c} \tilde{e}_l(g_t(E_k, E_k)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where A^t is the $(2, 1)$ O'Neill tensor field associated with g_t (cf. [4, 9.20]).

To sum up, we see that $\widehat{\text{Ric}}(\tilde{e}_l, N) = 0$.

□

Lemma 3.6. $\widehat{\text{Ric}}(E_l, N) = 0, 1 \leq l \leq 2n$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Ric}}(E_l, N) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t L_t E_l - \nabla_{E_l}^t L_t \tilde{e}_i + L_t[E_l, \tilde{e}_i], \tilde{e}_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} c^{-2} g_t(\nabla_{E_k}^t L_t E_l - \nabla_{E_l}^t L_t E_k + L_t[E_l, E_k], E_k). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $L_t E_l$ is horizontal, so is $\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t L_t E_l$ as the fiber is totally geodesic (cf. [4, (9.25b)]). Thus $g_t(\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t L_t E_l, \tilde{e}_j) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} g_t(\nabla_{E_l}^t L_t \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) &= \sum_{i,j,r=1}^2 b^{ij} \lambda_{ir} g_t(\nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{e}_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,r=1}^2 b^{ij} \lambda_{ir} g_t(\tilde{e}_r, \nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,r=1}^2 b^{ir} \lambda_{ij} g_t(\tilde{e}_j, \nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i,j,r=1}^2 (b^{ij} \lambda_{ir} + b^{ir} \lambda_{ij}) g_t(\tilde{e}_j, \nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_r) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,r=1}^2 \frac{1}{2} (b'_{ik} b^{kr} b^{ij} + b'_{ik} b^{kj} b^{ir}) g_t(\tilde{e}_j, \nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_r) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,r=1}^2 b'_{ik} b^{kr} b^{ij} g_t(\tilde{e}_j, \nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_r) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,r=1}^2 2 \lambda_{ir} b^{ij} g_t(\tilde{e}_j, \nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_r). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} g_t(\nabla_{E_l}^t L_t \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $\nabla_{\tilde{e}_i}^t E_l$ is horizontal, and $L_t \tilde{e}_j$ is vertical, we have

$$g_t(L_t[E_l, \tilde{e}_i], \tilde{e}_j) = g_t([E_l, \tilde{e}_i], L_t \tilde{e}_j) = g_t(\nabla_{E_l}^t \tilde{e}_i, L_t \tilde{e}_j) = -g_t(\tilde{e}_i, \nabla_{E_l}^t L_t \tilde{e}_j).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} g_t(L_t[E_l, \tilde{e}_i], \tilde{e}_j) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b^{ij} g_t(\tilde{e}_i, \nabla_{E_l}^t L_t \tilde{e}_j) = 0.$$

Finally, we have

$$g_t(\nabla_{E_k}^t L_t E_l - \nabla_{E_l}^t L_t E_k + L_t[E_l, E_k], E_k) = \frac{c'}{c} g_t(\nabla_{E_k}^t E_l - \nabla_{E_l}^t E_k + [E_l, E_k], E_k) = 0.$$

To sum up, we see that $\widehat{\text{Ric}}(E_l, N) = 0$. □

By Lemmas 2.2, 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, it is straightforward to show that [10, §2]

Lemma 3.7. *The non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor of $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ are*

$$(3.3) \quad \widehat{Ric}(N, N) = -\frac{1}{2}tr(\Psi') - \frac{1}{4}tr(\Psi^2) - 2n\frac{c''}{c},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \widehat{Ric}(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) = \frac{n}{2c^4}U_i U_j - \frac{1}{2}b'_{ij}(\frac{1}{2}tr(\Psi) + 2n\frac{c'}{c}) - \frac{1}{2}b''_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,l=1}^2 b'_{ik}b'_{jl}b^{kl},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \widehat{Ric}(E_k, E_l) = (p - \frac{\Delta}{2c^2} - cc'(\frac{1}{2}tr(\Psi) + 2n\frac{c'}{c}) - cc'' + c'^2)\delta_{kl}.$$

Thus the Einstein equation $\widehat{Ric} = \epsilon\widehat{g}$ on $(\widehat{M}^{2n+3}, \widehat{g})$ reduces to a system of ODEs

$$(3.6) \quad -\frac{1}{2}tr(\Psi') - \frac{1}{4}tr(\Psi^2) - 2n\frac{c''}{c} = \epsilon,$$

$$(3.7) \quad -\frac{1}{2}\Psi' - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}tr(\Psi) + 2n\frac{c'}{c})\Psi + \frac{n}{2c^4}U^T q = \epsilon I_2,$$

$$(3.8) \quad -(\frac{1}{2}tr(\Psi) + 2n\frac{c'}{c})\frac{c'}{c} - \frac{c''}{c} + \frac{c'^2}{c^2} + \frac{p}{c^2} - \frac{\Delta}{2c^4} = \epsilon.$$

We close this section by quoting a couple of elementary identities involving Ψ and α .

Proposition 3.8.

$$tr(\Psi) = (\log \alpha)', \quad tr(\Psi^2) = tr(\Psi)^2 - 2 \det \Psi.$$

4. EXACT SOLUTIONS OF THE EINSTEIN SYSTEM

In this section, we construct exact solutions of the Einstein system (3.6)-(3.8). To do that we introduce a new coordinate s defined by $ds = \sqrt{\alpha}dt$, and let $\beta(s) = c(t)^2$. Then the metric $\widehat{g}$ takes the form

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{g} = \alpha(s)^{-1}ds^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b_{ij}(s)\theta^i \otimes \theta^j + \beta(s)\widehat{\pi}^*h,$$

and the Einstein system (3.6)-(3.8) becomes

$$(4.2) \quad n\alpha(-\frac{\ddot{\beta}}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta})^2) - n\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2}\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} - \frac{\ddot{\alpha}}{2} + \frac{\Upsilon}{2} = \epsilon,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\alpha}{2}(-n\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta}\Phi - \dot{\Phi}) - \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2}\Phi + \frac{n}{2\beta^2}U^T q = \epsilon I_2,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\alpha}{2}(-\frac{\ddot{\beta}}{\beta} - (n-1)(\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta})^2) - \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2}\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} + \frac{p}{\beta} - \frac{\Delta}{2\beta^2} = \epsilon,$$

where $\dot{\cdot}$ denotes $\frac{d}{ds}$, $\Upsilon = \det \dot{B}$ and $\Phi = \dot{B}B^{-1}$. Here we have used Proposition 3.8.

Thanks to Back (see [10, Lemma 2.4]) we can restrict ourselves to the subsystem consisting of (4.3)-(4.4), and look for only those solutions which can be extended to at least one endpoint of I . However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no general way to explicitly solve such a coupled non-linear system. Fortunately our work in [8] (cf. Remark 1.2) sheds some light on the problem. Motivated by [8, Example 4.6.4] our starting point is to assume β is a linear function of s .

Assumption 1. $\beta = \kappa s$ for some positive constant κ .

Under this assumption, we are able to find exact solutions of (4.3)-(4.4) in the following way. First we take the trace of both sides of (4.3) to get

$$(4.5) \quad -n \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2} \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} - \frac{\ddot{\alpha}}{2} + n \frac{\Delta}{2\beta^2} = 2\epsilon.$$

Then $n \times (4.4) + (4.5)$ yields

$$(4.6) \quad \ddot{\alpha} = -2n\dot{\alpha} \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} + n\alpha \left(-\frac{\ddot{\beta}}{\beta} - (n-1) \left(\frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{2np}{\beta} - 2\epsilon(n+2).$$

By Assumption 1, (4.6) becomes

$$(4.7) \quad \ddot{\alpha} = -\frac{2n}{s} \dot{\alpha} - \frac{n(n-1)}{s^2} \alpha + \frac{2np}{\kappa} s^{-1} - 2\epsilon(n+2).$$

It is not hard to see that (4.7) has the general solution

$$(4.8) \quad \alpha = -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s^2 + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} s + c_1 s^{1-n} + c_2 s^{-n},$$

where c_i 's are integration constants. Substituting (4.8) into (4.4) gives

$$(4.9) \quad \Delta = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1} s + \kappa^2 c_2 s^{-n}.$$

Now letting $\hat{q} = (q_2, -q_1)$, and multiplying $\hat{q}^T$ on both sides of (4.3), we get

$$(n\alpha \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\beta} \Phi + \alpha \dot{\Phi} + \dot{\alpha} \Phi) \hat{q}^T = -2\epsilon \hat{q}^T.$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{ds} (\alpha \beta^n \Phi \hat{q}^T) = -2\epsilon \beta^n \hat{q}^T.$$

Thus

$$\alpha \beta^n \Phi \hat{q}^T = -2\epsilon \int \beta^n ds \cdot \hat{q}^T + w^T$$

for some constant vector $w = (w_1, w_2)$. In details, we have

$$(4.10) \quad \dot{b}_{11} U_2 - \dot{b}_{12} U_1 = v_1,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \dot{b}_{12} U_2 - \dot{b}_{22} U_1 = v_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \beta^{-n} (-2q_2 \epsilon \int \beta^n ds + w_1) = -\frac{2q_2 \epsilon}{n+1} s + w_1 \kappa^{-n} s^{-n}, \\ v_2 &= \beta^{-n} (2q_1 \epsilon \int \beta^n ds + w_2) = \frac{2q_1 \epsilon}{n+1} s + w_2 \kappa^{-n} s^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. In the light of Lemma 2.5, it remains to determine U_1 and U_2 from (4.10) and (4.11), and to verify that they satisfy the identity $q_1 U_1 + q_2 U_2 = \Delta$ (cf. Remark 2.3). After this is done, we can define $B = (b_{ij})$ as in Lemma 2.5, whose determinant turns out to be α automatically.

Notice that $q_1 \cdot (4.10) + q_2 \cdot (4.11)$ yields

$$(4.12) \quad \dot{U}_1 U_2 - U_1 \dot{U}_2 = \psi \beta^{-n},$$

where $\psi = q_1 w_1 + q_2 w_2$ is a constant. We divide our discussion into two cases $\psi \neq 0$ and $\psi = 0$. The latter case is postponed until we place smooth collapse conditions on b_{ij} in §5.

Now assume $\psi \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} &= \frac{2U_1 \dot{U}_1 + q_2^2 \dot{\alpha}}{\Delta} - \frac{U_1^2 + q_2^2 \alpha}{\Delta^2} \dot{\Delta}, \\ b_{22} &= \frac{2U_2 \dot{U}_2 + q_1^2 \dot{\alpha}}{\Delta} - \frac{U_2^2 + q_1^2 \alpha}{\Delta^2} \dot{\Delta}, \\ b_{12} &= \frac{\dot{U}_1 U_2 + U_1 \dot{U}_2 - q_1 q_2 \dot{\alpha}}{\Delta} - \frac{U_1 U_2 - q_1 q_2 \alpha}{\Delta^2} \dot{\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting them into (4.10) and (4.11) yields

$$(4.13) \quad U_1 = \psi^{-1} \beta^n (v_1 \Delta - q_2 (\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta})),$$

$$(4.14) \quad U_2 = \psi^{-1} \beta^n (v_2 \Delta + q_1 (\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta})),$$

provided (4.12) is valid. Plugging them back into (4.12) leads to the following consistency condition

$$(4.15) \quad \psi^2 = \beta^{2n} \dot{\Delta} (\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta}) - \beta^n \Delta (\beta^n (\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta}))' - 2\epsilon \beta^{2n} \Delta^2.$$

The right-hand side of (4.15) looks quite complicated, while the left-hand side is just a constant. It is thus surprising to see that when we plug (4.8) and (4.9) into (4.15), the consistency condition reads

$$(4.16) \quad \psi^2 = 2(n+1) \kappa^{2n+3} c_2 (p c_1 + c_2 \epsilon \kappa) > 0$$

as $\psi \neq 0$. Assuming this holds true, we can explicitly write down U_1 and U_2 respectively according to (4.13) and (4.14). The upshot is

$$(4.17) \quad U_1 = \frac{2\kappa}{\psi(n+1)} (p w_1 + n p q_2 \kappa^n c_1 + q_2 \epsilon \kappa^{n+1} c_2 (n+1)) s + \frac{\kappa^2 c_2}{\psi} (w_1 - q_2 \kappa^n c_1) s^{-n},$$

$$(4.18) \quad U_2 = \frac{2\kappa}{\psi(n+1)} (p w_2 - n p q_1 \kappa^n c_1 - q_1 \epsilon \kappa^{n+1} c_2 (n+1)) s + \frac{\kappa^2 c_2}{\psi} (w_2 + q_1 \kappa^n c_1) s^{-n}.$$

It is clear that $q_1 U_1 + q_2 U_2 = \Delta$ (cf. (4.9)).

Proposition 4.2. (4.8), (4.9), (4.17), and (4.18), together with the consistency condition (4.16), give rise to an exact solution of (4.3) and (4.4) if we define b_{ij} as in Lemma 2.5.

5. NON-POSITIVE EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

The data given in Proposition 4.2 yield local metrics on $I \times P_q$. In general, they are not Einstein metrics since the solutions may fail to satisfy (4.2). As noted in the paragraph preceding Assumption 1, one way to pick out Einstein metrics from these candidates is to smoothly extend them to one endpoint of I by choosing parameters appropriately. This amounts to collapse a circle in each fiber of P_q . In practice, we collapse the circle in 2-torus corresponding to characteristic class $q_1 a$ at the left endpoint of I , say $s_1 \geq 0$. This gives rise to the following smoothness conditions on $b_{ij}(t)$:

- (1) $b_{ij}(t)$'s are smooth and even in t around $t_1 = t(s_1)$;
- (2) $b_{11}(t_1) = b_{12}(t_1) = 0$, $b_{22}(t_1) > 0$, and $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_1} \sqrt{b_{11}(t)} = 1$.

The smoothness condition on $c(t)$ will be given in a while.

Remark 5.1. Condition (2) implies $\alpha(s_1) = U_1(s_1) = 0$.

The rest of this section is devoted to constructing complete non-positive Einstein manifolds. In particular, we assume $\epsilon \leq 0$. Our discussion is again divided into two cases $\psi \neq 0$ and $\psi = 0$.

5.1. $\psi \neq 0$. It follows from (4.8) that

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha = Qs^{-n},$$

where

$$Q = -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s^{n+2} + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s^{n+1} + c_1s + c_2.$$

By (4.16), $Q(0) = c_2 \neq 0$. Thus the identity $\alpha(s_1) = 0$ forces $s_1 > 0$ and $Q(s_1) = 0$. It follows that

$$(5.2) \quad c_2 = \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+2} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^{n+1} - c_1s_1.$$

Remark 5.2. Later on, we will see that s_1 is actually the largest positive root of Q .

Notice that $c(t_1) > 0$ as $\beta(s_1) = \kappa s_1 > 0$. Thus the smoothness condition on $c(t)$ is

- $c(t)$ is smooth and even in t around t_1 .

On the other hand, it follows from (4.17) that $U_1(s_1) = 0$ iff

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{2}{n+1}(pw_1 + npq_2\kappa^n c_1 + \epsilon q_2\kappa^{n+1}c_2(n+1))s_1^{n+1} = -\kappa c_2(w_1 - q_2\kappa^n c_1).$$

Using (5.2) to eliminate c_2 in (5.3) yields

$$(5.4) \quad -\kappa s_1(c_1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1})(w_1 - q_2\kappa^{n-1}(\kappa c_1 + 2ps_1^n - 2\epsilon\kappa s_1^{n+1})) = 0.$$

Claim 5.3. $c_1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} \neq 0$.

Proof. If $c_1 = \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1}$, then it follows from (5.2) that $c_2 = -\frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^{n+1}$. So $pc_1 + \epsilon\kappa c_2 = 0$. This contradicts (4.16). $\square$

By Claim 5.3, we get from (5.4) that

$$(5.5) \quad w_1 = q_2\kappa^{n-1}(\kappa c_1 + 2ps_1^n - 2\epsilon\kappa s_1^{n+1}).$$

Now it follows from (4.18), (5.2), (5.5), and the fact $w_2 = q_2^{-1}(\psi - q_1w_1)$ that

$$U_2(s_1) = -q_2^{-1}s_1^{1-n}\kappa^2(c_1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1}).$$

Thus

$$\Delta(s_1) = q_2U_2(s_1) = -s_1^{1-n}\kappa^2(c_1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1}) > 0.$$

So $c_1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} < 0$, i.e.,

$$(5.6) \quad c_1 < \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} \leq 0.$$

Remark 5.4. This upper bound on c_1 guarantees the positivity of $b_{22}(s_1) = q_2^{-1}U_2(s_1)$.

We consider now the consistency condition (4.16). Combining (5.6) and (5.2) gives

$$pc_1 + \epsilon\kappa c_2 = (c_1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1})(p - \epsilon\kappa s_1) < 0.$$

Thus it follows from (4.16) that

$$(5.7) \quad c_2 < 0.$$

Remark 5.5. The negativity of c_2 guarantees the positivity of $\Delta(s)$ on $[s_1, \infty)$. In fact, $\Delta(s_1) > 0$ as shown above, and by (4.9)

$$\dot{\Delta}(s) = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1} - \kappa^2 c_2 n s^{-n-1} > 0, \quad \forall s \geq s_1 > 0.$$

By (5.2), (5.7) is equivalent to

$$(5.8) \quad c_1 > \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^n.$$

Lemma 5.6. $\alpha > 0$ on (s_1, ∞) .

Proof. By (5.1), we only need to show that $Q > 0$ on (s_1, ∞) . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{Q}(s) &= -2\epsilon \frac{n+2}{n+1} s^{n+1} + \frac{2p}{\kappa} s^n + c_1 \\ &> -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s^{n+1} + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} s^n + \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s_1^{n+1} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} s_1^n \\ &= -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} (s^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} (s^n - s_1^n) \\ &> 0, \quad \forall s > s_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The positivity of $Q(s)$ on (s_1, ∞) then follows from the fact $Q(s_1) = 0$. □

Remark 5.7. Now we take $I = (s_1, \infty)$. By Lemma 5.6, there are no more collapses on (s_1, ∞) . So the metric $\hat{g}$ (cf. (4.1)) is well-defined on I .

We compute now the derivative of $\sqrt{b_{11}(t)}$ at t_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_1} \sqrt{b_{11}(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} \frac{\sqrt{b_{11}(t)}}{t - t_1} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} \frac{b'_{11}(t)}{2\sqrt{b_{11}(t)}} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_1} \frac{b_{11}(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)}{2\sqrt{b_{11}(s)}} \\ &= \frac{|q_2|\dot{\alpha}(s_1)}{2\sqrt{\Delta(s_1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

The smoothness conditions require that $|q_2|\dot{\alpha}(s_1) = 2\sqrt{\Delta(s_1)}$, i.e.

$$(5.9) \quad |q_2| = \frac{2\kappa^2 s_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s_1^{n+1} - c_1}}{2s_1^n (p - \epsilon\kappa s_1) - \kappa(\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s_1^{n+1} - c_1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

By (5.6) and (5.8), we have

$$\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^n < c_1 < \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1}.$$

We may introduce a new parameter $0 < \lambda < 1$, and write

$$c_1 = \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} - \frac{2p\lambda}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^n.$$

Thus (5.9) becomes

$$(5.10) \quad |q_2| = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2\lambda p \kappa^3 s_1}{n+1}}}{p(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n+1}) - \epsilon \kappa s_1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Lemma 5.8. *Given $s_1 > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there exists a unique $\kappa > 0$ such that (5.10) holds.*

Lemma 5.9. *$b_{ij}(t)$ and $c(t)$ are smooth and even functions in t around t_1 .*

Proof. The smoothness of $b_{ij}(t)$ and $c(t)$ follows from the relation $\frac{d}{dt} = \sqrt{\alpha(s)} \frac{d}{ds}$ and the fact that $\alpha(s)$, $\Delta(s)$, $U_1(s)$, $U_2(s)$, and $\beta(s)$ are rational functions of s . The evenness property follows from the identity $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_1} = \sqrt{\alpha(s)} \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=s_1} = 0$ as $\alpha(s_1) = 0$. $\square$

Now we can extend the metric $\widehat{g}$ (cf. (4.1)) smoothly to the left endpoint s_1 of I by choosing κ as in Lemma 5.8. As noted before, this indicates the extended metric g to be an Einstein metric.

Proposition 5.10. *We construct two two-parameter families of non-positive Einstein metrics with Einstein constant $\epsilon \leq 0$ on the associated solid torus bundle $T(P_q)$ of the form*

$$(5.11) \quad g = \alpha(s)^{-1} ds^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b_{ij}(s) \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + \beta(s) \widehat{\pi}^* h, \quad s \in [s_1, \infty),$$

with free parameters $s_1 > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. In details, $\kappa > 0$ satisfies (5.10), and

$$\alpha = -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s^2 + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s + c_1 s^{1-n} + c_2 s^{-n}, \quad \beta = \kappa s, \quad \Delta = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1}s + \kappa^2 c_2 s^{-n},$$

$$U_1 = \frac{4(\lambda-1)pq_2\kappa^n(p-\epsilon\kappa s_1)s_1^n}{\psi(n+1)}(s_1^{n+1}s^{-n}-s),$$

$$U_2 = \frac{4(\lambda-1)pq_1\kappa^n(p-\epsilon\kappa s_1)s_1^n}{\psi(n+1)}(s-s_1^{n+1}s^{-n}) + \frac{2p\kappa}{q_2(n+1)}(s+(\lambda-1)s_1^{n+1}s^{-n}),$$

$$b_{11} = \frac{U_1^2 + q_2^2 \alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{12} = \frac{U_1 U_2 - q_1 q_2 \alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{22} = \frac{U_2^2 + q_1^2 \alpha}{\Delta},$$

$$c_1 = \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} - \frac{2p\lambda}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^n, \quad c_2 = \frac{2p(\lambda-1)}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^{n+1},$$

$$\psi = \pm \sqrt{8(n+1)^{-1}p^2\kappa^{2n+1}\lambda(1-\lambda)s_1^{2n+1}(p-\epsilon\kappa s_1)}.$$

5.2. $\psi = 0$. In this case, (4.12) reduces to $\dot{U}_1 U_2 - U_1 \dot{U}_2 = 0$.

Claim 5.11. $U_1 \equiv 0$.

Proof. If $U_1 \neq 0$, then we have

$$\left(\frac{U_2}{U_1}\right)' = \frac{\dot{U}_2 U_1 - U_2 \dot{U}_1}{U_1^2} = 0.$$

Thus $U_2 = \mu U_1$ for some constant μ . By Remark 5.1, we have $U_2(s_1) = \mu U_1(s_1) = 0$. So $b_{22}(s_1) = 0$ as $b_{12}(s_1) = 0$ and $q_2 \neq 0$. This gives a contradiction. $\square$

By Claim 5.11, we have $\Delta = q_2 U_2$, i.e., $U_2 = q_2^{-1} \Delta$. So it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$b_{11} = q_2^2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{12} = -q_1 q_2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{22} = q_1^2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} + q_2^{-2} \Delta.$$

We turn now to check (4.10) and (4.11). Notice that

$$b_{11}' = q_2^2 \frac{\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta}}{\Delta^2}.$$

Substituting it into (4.10) gives

$$q_2(\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta}) = v_1 \Delta.$$

By (4.8) and (4.9), this amounts to

$$w_1 = -p^{-1} q_2 \kappa^n (n p c_1 + \epsilon \kappa c_2 (n+1)), \quad c_2(p c_1 + \epsilon \kappa c_2) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$b_{12}' = -q_1 q_2 \frac{\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta}}{\Delta^2}.$$

Substituting it into (4.11) gives

$$-q_1(\dot{\alpha} \Delta - \alpha \dot{\Delta}) = v_2 \Delta,$$

which amounts to

$$w_2 = p^{-1} q_1 \kappa^n (n p c_1 + \epsilon \kappa c_2 (n+1)).$$

Clearly $\psi = q_1 w_1 + q_2 w_2 = 0$ as supposed.

Regarding the consistency condition $c_2(p c_1 + \epsilon \kappa c_2) = 0$, we divide our discussion into two subcases $p c_1 + \epsilon \kappa c_2 = 0$ and $c_2 = 0$.

5.2.1. $p c_1 + \epsilon \kappa c_2 = 0$. In this case, $c_1 = -p^{-1} \epsilon \kappa c_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s^2 + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} s - p^{-1} \epsilon \kappa c_2 s^{1-n} + c_2 s^{-n} = (\kappa^{-1} s^{-n} - p^{-1} \epsilon s^{1-n}) \left(\frac{2p}{n+1} s^{n+1} + \kappa c_2 \right), \\ \Delta &= \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1} s + \kappa^2 c_2 s^{-n} = \kappa s^{-n} \left(\frac{2p}{n+1} s^{n+1} + \kappa c_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$b_{11} = q_2^2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} = q_2^2 \kappa^{-2} (1 - p^{-1} \epsilon \kappa s) > 0, \quad \forall s \geq s_1 \geq 0.$$

In particular, $b_{11}(s_1) > 0$, which contradicts the smooth collapse conditions.

5.2.2. $c_2 = 0$. In this case, we have

$$\alpha = -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s^2 + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s + c_1s^{1-n}, \quad \Delta = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1}s.$$

Claim 5.12. $s_1 > 0$.

Proof. If $s_1 = 0$, then c_1 has to be zero since $\alpha(s_1) = 0$. Thus

$$b_{11} = q_2^2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} = q_2^2 \kappa^{-2} (1 - p^{-1} \epsilon \kappa s) > 0, \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

As shown above, this contradicts the smooth collapse conditions. $\square$

An immediate consequence of Claim 5.12 is that

Corollary 5.13. $\Delta > 0$ on $[s_1, \infty)$.

On the other hand, the requirement $\alpha(s_1) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(5.12) \quad c_1 = \frac{2\epsilon}{n+1}s_1^{n+1} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^n.$$

Notice that $c_1 < 0$.

Lemma 5.14. $\alpha > 0$ on (s_1, ∞) .

Proof. By (5.12), we have

$$\dot{\alpha} = -\frac{4\epsilon}{n+1}s + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} + c_1(1-n)s^{-n} > 0, \quad \forall s \geq s_1 > 0.$$

Now the claim follows from the fact $\alpha(s_1) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 5.14 implies that there are no more collapses after s_1 . So we can take $I = (s_1, \infty)$. The metric $\hat{g}$ (cf. (4.1)) is well-defined on I .

It follows from $\alpha(s_1) = 0$ and $\Delta(s_1) > 0$ that $b_{11}(s_1) = b_{12}(s_1) = 0$ and $b_{22}(s_1) > 0$. Moreover,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=t_1} \sqrt{b_{11}(t)} = \frac{|q_2| \dot{\alpha}(s_1)}{2\sqrt{\Delta(s_1)}} = \frac{|q_2|(-\epsilon \kappa s_1 + \frac{np}{n+1})}{\sqrt{\frac{2p\kappa^3}{n+1}s_1}} = 1,$$

as required by the smooth collapse conditions, is equivalent to

$$(5.13) \quad |q_2| = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2p\kappa^3}{n+1}s_1}}{(-\epsilon \kappa s_1 + \frac{np}{n+1})} \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Proposition 5.15. *Given $s_1 > 0$, there exists a unique $\kappa > 0$ such that (5.13) holds.*

As before, it is easy to see that $b_{ij}(t)$ and $c(t)$ are smooth and even in t around t_1 . So by choosing κ as in Proposition 5.15, we can extend the metric $\hat{g}$ (cf. (4.1)) smoothly to the left endpoint s_1 of I . The extended metric g is an Einstein metric.

Proposition 5.16. *We construct a one-parameter family of non-positive Einstein metrics with Einstein constant $\epsilon \leq 0$ on the associated solid torus bundle $T(P_q)$ of the form*

$$g = \alpha(s)^{-1} ds^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 b_{ij}(s) \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + \beta(s) \widehat{\pi}^* h, \quad s \in [s_1, \infty),$$

with free parameter $s_1 > 0$. In details, $\kappa > 0$ satisfies (5.13), and

$$\alpha = -\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s^2 + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} s + \left(\frac{2\epsilon}{n+1} s_1^{n+1} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} s_1^n \right) s^{1-n}, \quad \beta = \kappa s, \quad \Delta = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1} s,$$

$$b_{11} = q_2^2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{12} = -q_1 q_2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta}, \quad b_{22} = q_1^2 \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} + q_2^{-2} \Delta.$$

Remark 5.17. The boundary one-parameter family with $\lambda = 1$ in Proposition 5.10 corresponds to the one in the preceding proposition. So we may combine Propositions 5.10 and 5.16 by allowing $\lambda = 1$ in the former one.

5.3. CCE manifolds. In this subsection, we specialize to the negative Einstein metrics in Proposition 5.10 (including the limiting case $\lambda = 1$). We will show that they are CCE metrics, and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality, we assume $\epsilon = -(2n+2)$.

Lemma 5.18. *The Einstein metric g given by (5.11) is complete.*

Proof. The geodesic distance $\int_{s_1}^s \alpha(\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau$ w.r.t. g is unbounded since $\alpha(s) \sim s^2$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

We define now a conformal metric $\bar{g}$ on $T(P_q)$ given by

$$(5.14) \quad \bar{g} = s^{-1} g = (s\alpha(s))^{-1} ds^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 s^{-1} b_{ij}(s) \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + \kappa \widehat{\pi}^* h.$$

Lemma 5.19. *$\bar{g}$ extends to a compact metric on $\overline{T(P_q)} = T(P_q) \cup \partial T(P_q)$.*

Proof. The geodesic distance $\int_{s_1}^s (\tau\alpha(\tau))^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau$ w.r.t. $\bar{g}$ is bounded since $\alpha(s) \sim s^2$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that $U_i(s)$ and $\Delta(s)$ grow like s as $s \rightarrow \infty$. So by Proposition 5.10, $b_{ij}(s) \sim s$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{-2} \alpha(s) = 4 > 0$. Thus $\bar{g}|_{\partial T(P_q) = \{s=\infty\}}$ is well-defined and non-degenerate. $\square$

Corollary 5.20. *g is a CCE metric.*

Remark 5.21. Note that $\rho(s) = s^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a defining function for $\partial T(P_q)$. In fact, it is clear that $\rho > 0$ in $T(P_q) = \{s_1 \leq s < \infty\}$, and $\rho = 0$ on $\partial T(P_q) = \{s = \infty\}$. Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} = \frac{ds}{d\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = -2\rho^{-3} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = -2s^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 4s^2 \alpha(s)^{-1} = 1 > 0.$$

In particular, $d\rho|_{\partial T(P_q)} \neq 0$.

Remark 5.22. The event horizon $\{s = s_1\}$ is the nontrivial principal circle bundle over V with Euler class $q_2 a$. Topologically, it is the deformation retraction of $T(P_q)$,

5.4. Q -curvatures. In this subsection, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof consists of two steps. First, we show the vanishing of total Q -curvature for every conformal infinity associated with the CCE manifolds in Theorem 1.1. Second, we show that every conformal infinity contains a representative with constant Q -curvature, which thus must have constant zero Q -curvature.

5.4.1. Geodesic defining functions. Recall that the CCE metrics in Theorem 1.1 are given by (5.11). We see that $\rho = s^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is not a geodesic defining function. In order to find a geodesic defining function σ , we are led to a first-order ordinary differential equation

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} = -\frac{ds}{\sqrt{\alpha(s)}}.$$

Proposition 5.23. *Let*

$$\zeta(s) = \int_{s_1}^s \left(\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha(\tau)}} \right) d\tau, \quad s \geq s_1 > 0.$$

Then $\sigma = s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \zeta$ satisfies (5.15), and is a geodesic defining function.

Proof. At s_1 , $\alpha(s_1) = 0$ and $\alpha'(s_1) = 4(n+1)s_1 + 2p\kappa^{-1}(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n+1}) > 0$. Thus $\alpha(s) = O(|s - s_1|)$. We see that ζ is well-defined on $[s_1, \infty)$. In particular, $\zeta(s_1) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(s) - 4s^2}{s} = \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} > 0,$$

i.e., $\alpha(s) - 4s^2 \sim s$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha(\tau)}} = \frac{\alpha(\tau) - 4\tau^2}{2\tau\sqrt{\alpha(\tau)}(\sqrt{\alpha(\tau)} + 2\tau)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right).$$

We see that $\zeta_\infty = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(s)$ is finite. By definition, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sigma = 0$, i.e., $\sigma = 0$ on $\partial T(P_q)$.

Now differentiating the formula of ζ gives

$$(5.16) \quad \dot{\zeta} = \frac{1}{2s} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha(s)}}, \quad s > s_1,$$

which shows that ζ is smooth on (s_1, ∞) .

Finally, it is not hard to check that $\sigma = s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \zeta$ satisfies (5.15). Thus σ is a geodesic defining function. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.23. $\square$

Remark 5.24. ζ is not smooth at s_1 . To see this, we return to t -coordinate. By (5.16), we have

$$\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \frac{d\zeta}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2s} - 1.$$

In particular, $\dot{\zeta}(t_1) = -1 \neq 0$. Thus ζ is not even in t around t_1 , and hence not smooth at t_1 .

By (5.15),

$$\frac{d\sigma}{ds} = -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\alpha(s)}} < 0, \quad s > s_1.$$

Hence the range of σ is $[0, s_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ since $\sigma(s_1) = s_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as $\zeta(s_1) = 0$, and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sigma = 0$. By the inverse function theorem, s can be written as a function of σ on $[0, s_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$, say $s = \sigma^{-2}\xi$, where $\xi = \xi(\sigma)$ is the unique smooth solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\xi}{d\sigma} &= 2\sigma^{-1}\xi(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(s)}{4s^2}}) \\ &= -\frac{\frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}\sigma + c_1\sigma^{2n+1}\xi^{-n} + c_2\sigma^{2n+3}\xi^{-n-1}}{2 + \sqrt{4 + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}\sigma^2\xi^{-1} + c_1\sigma^{2n+2}\xi^{-n-1} + c_2\sigma^{2n+4}\xi^{-n-2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

subject to the initial condition $\xi(0) = \exp(2\zeta_\infty)$.

5.4.2. Asymptotic volumes. In terms of the geodesic defining function σ , we can rewrite our Einstein metric g as

$$(5.17) \quad g = \sigma^{-2}d\sigma^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 E_{ij}(\sigma)\theta^i \otimes \theta^j + F(\sigma)\hat{\pi}^*h$$

with $E_{ij}(\sigma) = b_{ij}(s)$ and $F(\sigma) = \beta(s)$. By (5.15) and (5.17), the asymptotic volume is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_g(\{\sigma > \delta\}) &= C \int_{\delta}^{\sigma(s_1)} \sqrt{\det(E_{ij})} F^n \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \\ &= C \int_{s(\delta)}^{s_1} \sqrt{\det(b_{ij})} \beta^n \left(-\frac{ds}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) \\ &= C\kappa^n \int_{s_1}^{s(\delta)} s^n ds \\ &= \frac{C\kappa^n}{n+1} (s(\delta)^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{C\kappa^n}{n+1} (\delta^{-(2n+2)} \xi(\delta)^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C = 4\pi^2 \text{Vol}_h(V)$. Since ξ is smooth around 0, no $\log \delta$ term will appear in the asymptotic expansion of $\text{Vol}_g(\{\sigma > \delta\})$. Thus the conformal infinity has vanishing total Q -curvature.

5.4.3. Constant Q -curvature metrics. Now it remains to show the existence of representatives with constant Q -curvature in every conformal infinity. Let us consider the restriction g_b of $\sigma^2 g$ to the boundary $\partial T(P_q)$. To show that g_b has constant Q -curvature, by [11, Theorem 3.1], we need to find the unique solution $\tilde{U} \bmod O(\sigma^{2n+2})$ of the Poisson equation

$$\Delta_g \tilde{U} = 2n + 2 + O(\sigma^{2n+3} \log \sigma)$$

of the form

$$\tilde{U} = \log \sigma + A + B\sigma^{2n+2} \log \sigma + O(\sigma^{2n+2}),$$

with $A, B \in C^\infty(T(P_q))$ and $A|_{\partial T(P_q)} = 0$. It has been shown that $B|_{\partial T(P_q)}$ is a constant multiple of the Q -curvature of g_b [11, Theorem 4.1].

Lemma 5.25. *For any C^2 function $G(x)$ of variable x , $\Delta_g(G(\sigma))$ depends on σ only.*

Proof. Let $\iota = \log \sigma$, i.e., $\sigma = \exp \iota$. Thus $G(\sigma) = G(\log \iota)$. We may rewrite our Einstein metric as

$$g = d\iota^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \bar{E}_{ij}(\iota) \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + \bar{F}(\iota) \pi^* h,$$

with $\bar{E}_{ij}(\iota) = E_{ij}(\sigma)$ and $\bar{F}(\iota) = F(\sigma)$. Then it is not hard to see that $\triangle_g(G(\log \iota))$ is a function of ι only (cf. Lemma 3.2). In other words, $\triangle_g(G(\sigma))$ is a function of σ only. $\square$

Following closely the proof of [11, Theorem 3.1], we first construct the function $A \bmod O(\sigma^{2n+2})$ of the form $\sum_{i=2}^{2n+1} c_i \sigma^i$ with constants c_i such that

$$\triangle_g A = 2n + 2 - \triangle_g \log \sigma + \sigma^{2n+2} \widetilde{W},$$

where the remainder $\widetilde{W}$ turns out to depend on σ only. Then we can formally determine $B = B(\sigma)$ subject to the boundary condition $B|_{\partial T(P_q)} = -(2n+2)^{-1} \widetilde{W}(0)$, from which follows the constancy of the Q -curvature of g_b . As shown in §5.4.2, g_b has vanishing total Q -curvature. Thus g_b actually has vanishing Q -curvature. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 5.26. The Q -curvature in dimension 4 is given by an explicit formula

$$Q = \frac{1}{6}(\triangle R + R^2 - 3|\text{Ric}|^2).$$

So it is not hard to verify that for every 5-dimensional CCE manifold in Theorem 1.1, the restriction of $\bar{g}$ (cf. (5.14)) to $\partial T(P_q) = \{s = \infty\}$ has zero Q -curvature (cf. Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 5.10).

5.5. Ricci-flat manifolds. In this subsection, we specialize to the Ricci-flat metrics in Proposition 5.10 (including again the limiting case $\lambda = 1$). We will show that they are complete, and have slow volume growth and quadratic curvature decay.

5.5.1. Completeness. The geodesic distance w.r.t. g (cf. (5.11)) is given by

$$t = \int \alpha(s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds.$$

By Proposition 5.10, $\alpha(s) \sim s$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. So $t \sim \sqrt{s}$ is unbounded. Thus g is complete.

Remark 5.27. More precisely, we have $t \sim \sqrt{2p^{-1}\kappa(n+1)s}$. By Proposition 5.10, $b_{ij}(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ grow like s as $s \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, $b_{ij}(t)$ and $c(t)^2$ grow like t^2 . So g is asymptotic to a cone metric

$$g_c = dt^2 + t^2 \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \tilde{b}_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j + \frac{p}{2(n+1)} \hat{\pi}^* h \right),$$

where $\tilde{b}_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \tilde{U}_i \tilde{U}_j$, and

$$\tilde{U}_1 = -\frac{4(\lambda-1)p^2 q_2 \kappa^n s_1^n}{\psi(n+1)}, \quad \tilde{U}_2 = \frac{4(\lambda-1)p^2 q_1 \kappa^n s_1^n}{\psi(n+1)} + \frac{2p\kappa}{q_2(n+1)}.$$

However, g_c is degenerate in the sense that $\det(\tilde{b}_{ij}) = 0$.

5.5.2. *Volume growth.* The volume element w.r.t. g is given by

$$\text{Vol}_g = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta^{2n}} \cdot \text{Vol}_h \sim s^n.$$

So the volume function grows like s^{n+1} , i.e., t^{2n+2} since $s \sim t^2$. Thus g has slower-than-Euclidean volume growth.

5.5.3. *Curvature decay.* Using formulas from §3, one can check that the curvature tensor of g decays as fast as t^{-2} when $t \rightarrow \infty$, and hence so do the sectional curvatures of g .

6. POSITIVE EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.9 by constructing complete positive Einstein metrics from local solutions given in Proposition 4.2. From now on, we fix the Einstein constant $\epsilon = 2n + 2$.

6.1. **Smooth collapse.** In order to obtain closed manifolds from $\widehat{M} = I \times P_q$, we must add to it two principal circle bundles, one at each endpoint of I . In other words, we shall collapse the two standard circles in each 2-torus fiber of P_q , one at each endpoint of I . More precisely, we collapse the one corresponding to characteristic class $q_1 a$ at the left endpoint s_1 , while the one corresponding to characteristic class $q_2 a$ at the right endpoint s_2 . The smoothness conditions on $b_{ij}(t)$'s are

- (1) $b_{ij}(t)$'s are smooth and even in t around $t_k = t(s_k)$, $k = 1, 2$;
- (2) $b_{11}(t_1) = b_{12}(t_1) = 0$, $b_{22}(t_1) > 0$, and $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_1} \sqrt{b_{11}(t)} = 1$;
- (3) $b_{12}(t_2) = b_{22}(t_2) = 0$, $b_{11}(t_2) > 0$, and $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_2} \sqrt{b_{22}(t)} = -1$.

Remark 6.1. Recall that $U_1 = q_1 b_{11} + q_2 b_{12}$, $U_2 = q_1 b_{12} + q_2 b_{22}$, and $\alpha = \det B$ given by (4.8)

$$(6.1) \quad \alpha(s) = -4s^2 + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s + c_1 s^{1-n} + c_2 s^{-n}.$$

Conditions (2) and (3) imply $\alpha(s_i) = U_i(s_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

In §4, local solutions fall into two categories, $\psi \neq 0$ and $\psi = 0$. In the latter case, we can apply the argument for Claim 5.11 to the current situation, and conclude that $U_i \equiv 0$, $i = 1, 2$, since $|q_1| > |q_2| > 0$ by assumption. It follows that $\Omega \equiv 0$, which gives a contradiction. Thus we cannot construct smooth positive Einstein metrics when $\psi = 0$. Henceforth, we focus on the case $\psi \neq 0$.

We proceed to study the smooth collapse at $s_1 \geq 0$. As shown at the start of §5.1, the assumption $\psi \neq 0$ forces $s_1 > 0$. By (6.1), $\alpha(s_1) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(6.2) \quad c_2 = 4s_1^{n+2} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^{n+1} - c_1 s_1.$$

Substituting (6.2) into $U_1(s_1) = 0$ (cf. (4.17)) yields

$$(4s_1^{n+1} - c_1)(w_1 - q_2 \kappa^{n-1}(\kappa c_1 + 2ps_1^n - (4n+4)\kappa s_1^{n+1})) = 0.$$

Claim 6.2. $c_1 \neq 4s_1^{n+1}$.

Proof. If $c_1 = 4s_1^{n+1}$, then (6.2) reduces to $c_2 = -\frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^{n+1}$. So $pc_1 + (2n+2)\kappa c_2 = 0$. By (4.16), $\psi^2 = 0$. This gives a contradiction. $\square$

Therefore,

$$(6.3) \quad w_1 = q_2 \kappa^{n-1}(\kappa c_1 + 2ps_1^n - (4n+4)\kappa s_1^{n+1}).$$

Remark 6.3. (6.3) necessitates $q_2 \neq 0$. If $q_2 = 0$, then $w_1 = 0$, and hence $\psi = q_1 w_1 + q_2 w_2 = 0$. This gives a contradiction.

By (4.9) and (6.2),

$$\Delta(s_1) = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1}s_1 + \kappa^2 s_1^{-n}(4s_1^{n+2} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^{n+1} - c_1 s_1) = \kappa^2 s_1^{1-n}(4s_1^{n+1} - c_1) \geq 0.$$

So $c_1 \leq 4s_1^{n+1}$. On the other hand, $pc_1 + (2n+2)\kappa c_2 = (p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1)(c_1 - 4s_1^{n+1})$. Thus (4.16) becomes

$$(6.4) \quad \psi^2 = (2n+2)\kappa^{2n+3}c_2(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1)(c_1 - 4s_1^{n+1}) > 0.$$

In particular, $c_1 - 4s_1^{n+1} \neq 0$. So it must be

$$(6.5) \quad c_1 < 4s_1^{n+1}.$$

Thus $\Delta(s_1) > 0$. It follows that $U_2(s_1) = q_2^{-1}\Delta(s_1) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.5, we see that

Proposition 6.4. (6.2), (6.3), and (6.5) ensure $b_{11}(t_1) = b_{12}(t_1) = 0$ and $b_{12}(t_1) > 0$.

Remark 6.5. By (6.5), (6.4) amounts to

$$(6.6) \quad c_2(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1) < 0.$$

We study now the smooth collapse at $s_2(> s_1 > 0)$. It follows from $\alpha(s_2) = 0$ that

$$(6.7) \quad c_2 = 4s_2^{n+2} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_2^{n+1} - c_1 s_2.$$

Substituting (6.7) into $U_2(s_2) = 0$ (cf. (4.18)) yields

$$(4s_2^{n+1} - c_1)(w_2 + q_1\kappa^{n-1}(\kappa c_1 + 2ps_2^n - (4n+4)\kappa s_2^{n+1})) = 0.$$

By (6.5), we have $c_1 < 4s_2^{n+1}$. Therefore,

$$(6.8) \quad w_2 = -q_1\kappa^{n-1}(\kappa c_1 + 2ps_2^n - (4n+4)\kappa s_2^{n+1}).$$

Remark 6.6. (6.8) necessitates $q_1 \neq 0$. If $q_1 = 0$, then $w_2 = 0$, and hence $\psi = q_1 w_1 + q_2 w_2 = 0$. This gives a contradiction.

By (4.9) and (6.7),

$$\Delta(s_2) = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1}s_2 + \kappa^2 s_2^{-n}(4s_2^{n+2} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_2^{n+1} - c_1 s_2) = \kappa^2 s_2^{1-n}(4s_2^{n+1} - c_1) > 0.$$

Thus $U_1(s_2) = q_1^{-1}\Delta(s_2) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.5, we see that

Proposition 6.7. (6.7), (6.8), and (6.5) ensure $b_{12}(t_2) = b_{22}(t_2) = 0$ and $b_{11}(t_2) > 0$.

By (6.7), we have $pc_1 + (2n+2)\kappa c_2 = (p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2)(c_1 - 4s_2^{n+1})$. So (4.16) becomes

$$\psi^2 = (2n+2)\kappa^{2n+3}c_2(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2)(c_1 - 4s_2^{n+1}) > 0.$$

Thus

$$(6.9) \quad c_2(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2) < 0.$$

Lemma 6.8. $p > (2n+2)\kappa s_1$.

Proof. Let

$$(6.10) \quad F(z) = 4z^{n+2} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}z^{n+1} - c_1z, s_1 \leq z \leq s_2.$$

By (6.2) and (6.7), $F(s_1) = F(s_2)$. Thus Rolle's theorem asserts a $z_0 \in (s_1, s_2)$ such that $F'(z_0) = 0$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} F'(z) &= 4(n+2)z^{n+1} - 2p\kappa^{-1}z^n - c_1 \\ &> 4(n+2)z^{n+1} - 2p\kappa^{-1}z^n - 4s_1^{n+1} \\ &= 4(z^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) + 2\kappa^{-1}z^n((2n+2)\kappa z - p) \\ &> 2\kappa^{-1}z^n((2n+2)\kappa s_1 - p), \quad s_1 < z < s_2. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \leq (2n+2)\kappa s_1$, then $F'(z) > 0$ on (s_1, s_2) . This gives a contradiction. Thus $p > (2n+2)\kappa s_1$. $\square$

By (6.6) and (6.9), $c_2 < 0$ and $p > (2n+2)\kappa s_2$. Therefore,

$$(6.11) \quad 0 < s_1 < s_2 < \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}.$$

On the other hand, equating (6.2) with (6.7) gives

$$(6.12) \quad c_1 = 4 \frac{s_2^{n+2} - s_1^{n+2}}{s_2 - s_1} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)} \frac{s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}}{s_2 - s_1}.$$

Substituting (6.12) into (6.2) gives

$$(6.13) \quad c_2 = \frac{s_1 s_2}{s_1 - s_2} (4(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}(s_2^n - s_1^n)).$$

Since $c_2 < 0$, (6.2) and (6.7) imply

$$(6.14) \quad c_1 > 4s_k^{n+1} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_k^n, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Lemma 6.9. Suppose c_1 is given by (6.12). Then $c_1 < 4s_1^{n+1}$ provided $s_2 < \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}$. Furthermore, (6.14) is equivalent to

$$(6.15) \quad s_2^{n+1} - \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}s_2^n > s_1^{n+1} - \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}s_1^n.$$

Proof. By (6.12),

$$c_1 - 4s_1^{n+1} = 4 \frac{s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}}{s_2 - s_1} (s_2 - \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}).$$

So $c_1 - 4s_1^{n+1} < 0$ if $s_2 - \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)} < 0$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 - 4s_1^{n+1} + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_1^n &= \frac{4s_2}{s_2 - s_1} (s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1} - \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}(s_2^n - s_1^n)), \\ c_1 - 4s_2^{n+1} + \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_2^n &= \frac{4s_1}{s_2 - s_1} (s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1} - \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}(s_2^n - s_1^n)). \end{aligned}$$

They are positive iff $s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1} - \frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)}(s_2^n - s_1^n) > 0$. $\square$

Lemma 6.10. $F(z) < c_2 < 0$ on (s_1, s_2) .

Proof. By (6.10),

$$F''(z) = 4(n+1)(n+2)z^{n-1}\left(z - \frac{np}{2\kappa(n+1)(n+2)}\right).$$

Thus

$$F''(z) \begin{cases} < 0, & z \in (0, \frac{np}{2\kappa(n+1)(n+2)}); \\ > 0, & z \in (\frac{np}{2\kappa(n+1)(n+2)}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

Since $F(0) = 0 > c_2 = F(s_1) = F(s_2)$, it is not hard to see that $F(z) < c_2$ on (s_1, s_2) . $\square$

Corollary 6.11. $\alpha(s) > 0$ on (s_1, s_2) .

Proof. Assume $\alpha(s_0) \leq 0$ for some $s_0 \in (s_1, s_2)$. Then $c_2 \leq 4s_0^{n+2} - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}s_0^{n+1} - c_1s_0 = F(s_0)$. This contradicts Lemma 6.10. $\square$

Lemma 6.12. $\Delta(s) > 0$ on (s_1, s_2) .

Proof. By (4.9),

$$\dot{\Delta} = \frac{2p\kappa}{n+1} - n\kappa^2c_2s^{-n-1} > 0, \quad s > 0.$$

Thus $\Delta(s) > \Delta(s_1) > 0$ when $s > s_1$. $\square$

Remark 6.13. Lemmas 2.5, 6.12, and Corollary 6.11 imply that $B(s) = (b_{ij}(s))$ is well-defined and positive-definite on (s_1, s_2) .

We study now remaining smoothness conditions at s_i , $i = 1, 2$. As shown before,

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_1} \sqrt{b_{11}(t)} = \frac{|q_2|\dot{\alpha}(s_1)}{2\sqrt{\Delta(s_1)}}.$$

So $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_1} \sqrt{b_{11}(t)} = 1$ iff $q_2^2 = 4\Delta(s_1)\dot{\alpha}(s_1)^{-2}$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}(s_1) &= s_1^{-n}(-4(n+2)s_1^{n+1} + 2p\kappa^{-1}s_1^n + c_1) \\ &= 2\kappa^{-1}(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1 - \frac{(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2)(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_1^n(s_2 - s_1)}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(s_1) = 4\kappa^2s_1^{1-n}\left(\frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)} - s_2\right)\frac{s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}}{s_2 - s_1}.$$

Thus

$$(6.16) \quad q_2^2 = \frac{2\kappa^3(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2)(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_1^{n-1}(s_2 - s_1)\left(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1 - \frac{(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2)(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_1^n(s_2 - s_1)}\right)^2}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_2} \sqrt{b_{22}(t)} = \frac{|q_1|\dot{\alpha}(s_2)}{2\sqrt{\Delta(s_2)}}.$$

So $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_2} \sqrt{b_{22}(t)} = -1$ iff $q_1^2 = 4\Delta(s_2)\dot{\alpha}(s_2)^{-2}$. However,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\alpha}(s_2) &= s_2^{-n}(-4(n+2)s_2^{n+1} + 2p\kappa^{-1}s_2^n + c_1) \\ &= 2\kappa^{-1}(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2 - \frac{(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1)(s_1^{n+1} - s_2^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_2^n(s_1 - s_2)}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(s_2) = 4\kappa^2 s_2^{1-n} \left(\frac{p}{\kappa(2n+2)} - s_1 \right) \frac{s_1^{n+1} - s_2^{n+1}}{s_1 - s_2}.$$

Thus

$$(6.17) \quad q_1^2 = \frac{2\kappa^3(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1)(s_1^{n+1} - s_2^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_2^{n-1}(s_1 - s_2)(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2 - \frac{(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1)(s_1^{n+1} - s_2^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_2^n(s_1 - s_2)})^2}.$$

We check now the identity $\psi^2 = (q_1 w_1 + q_2 w_2)^2$. Substituting (6.12) and (6.13) into (6.4) gives

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{aligned}\psi^2 &= 4\kappa^{2n+2} \frac{s_1 s_2}{(s_1 - s_2)^2} (s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1})(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1)(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2) \\ &\quad \cdot (4(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) - \frac{2p}{\kappa(n+1)}(s_2^n - s_1^n)).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (6.3) and (6.8),

$$q_1 w_1 + q_2 w_2 = 2q_1 q_2 \kappa^n ((2n+2)(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) - p\kappa^{-1}(s_2^n - s_1^n)).$$

Thus

$$(6.19) \quad (q_1 w_1 + q_2 w_2)^2 = 4q_1^2 q_2^2 \kappa^{2n} ((2n+2)(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) - p\kappa^{-1}(s_2^n - s_1^n))^2.$$

We equate (6.18) with (6.19), and substitute q_1^2 and q_2^2 by (6.16) and (6.17). The upshot is

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 &= \frac{(n+1)s_1^n s_2^n}{2\kappa^2(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1})((2n+2)(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1}) - p\kappa^{-1}(s_2^n - s_1^n))} \\ &\quad \cdot (p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1 - \frac{(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2)(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_1^n(s_2 - s_1)})^2 \\ &\quad \cdot (p - (2n+2)\kappa s_2 - \frac{(p - (2n+2)\kappa s_1)(s_1^{n+1} - s_2^{n+1})}{(n+1)s_2^n(s_1 - s_2)})^2.\end{aligned}$$

To simplify this expression, we introduce two quantities

$$x = (2n+2)p^{-1}\kappa s_1, \quad y = (2n+2)p^{-1}\kappa s_2,$$

i.e.,

$$s_1 = \frac{px}{\kappa(2n+2)}, \quad s_2 = \frac{py}{\kappa(2n+2)}.$$

Remark 6.14. (6.11) and (6.15) become $0 < x < y < 1$ and $y^{n+1} - y^n > x^{n+1} - x^n$.

Now the formula for κ^2 takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 &= \frac{(n+1)^2 p^2 x^n y^n}{(y^{n+1} - x^{n+1})(y^{n+1} - x^{n+1} - y^n + x^n)} \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - x - \frac{(1-y)(y^{n+1} - x^{n+1})}{(n+1)x^n(y-x)})^2 (1 - y - \frac{(1-x)(x^{n+1} - y^{n+1})}{(n+1)y^n(x-y)})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that κ^2 is determined by x and y . Furthermore, (6.16) and (6.17) transform into

$$(6.20) \quad q_1^2 = \frac{p^2 x^n y (1-x)}{(y-x)(y^{n+1} - x^{n+1} - y^n + x^n)} \left(1 - x - \frac{(1-y)(y^{n+1} - x^{n+1})}{(n+1)x^n(y-x)}\right)^2,$$

$$(6.21) \quad q_2^2 = \frac{p^2 x y^n (1-y)}{(y-x)(y^{n+1} - x^{n+1} - y^n + x^n)} \left(1 - y - \frac{(1-x)(y^{n+1} - x^{n+1})}{(n+1)y^n(y-x)}\right)^2.$$

It remains to show that

Proposition 6.15. *Given a pair of non-zero integers q_1 and q_2 with $|q_1| > |q_2|$, there exists a point $(x, y) \in \Gamma = \{0 < x < y < 1; y^{n+1} - y^n > x^{n+1} - x^n\}$ such that (6.20) and (6.21) hold.*

The proof of Proposition 6.15 needs several lemmas.

Lemma 6.16. *For $(x, y) \in \Gamma$, $y^{k+1} - y^k > x^{k+1} - x^k$, $k = 0, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Clearly the inequality is true for $k = n$. Assume the inequality holds when $k \geq m$. Then $y^{m+1} - x^{m+1} > y^m - x^m$, i.e., $\sum_{i=0}^m y^{m-i} x^i > \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y^{m-1-j} x^j$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m y^{m-i} x^i &> -y^m + y^{m-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} y^{m-1-j} x^j \\ &= y^{m-1}(1-y) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} y^{m-1-j} x^j \\ &> \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} y^{m-1-j} x^j, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^m y^{m-i} x^{i-1} > \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} y^{m-1-j} x^{j-1}$, or equivalently, $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y^{m-1-i} x^i > \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} y^{m-2-j} x^j$. Thus $y^m - x^m > y^{m-1} - x^{m-1}$, i.e., the inequality is true for $k = m-1$. $\square$

Let

$$A_m = \sum_{i=0}^m y^{m-i} x^i - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y^{m-1-j} x^j, \quad m = 0, \dots, n.$$

For example, $A_0 = 1$, $A_1 = y + x - 1$. In the proof of Lemma 6.16, we actually show that

Corollary 6.17. $A_m > 0$, $m = 0, \dots, n$.

Lemma 6.18.

$$q_1^2 = \left(\frac{p}{n+1}\right)^2 \frac{y(1-x)}{x^n A_n} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i A_{n-i}\right)^2, \quad q_2^2 = \left(\frac{p}{n+1}\right)^2 \frac{x(1-y)}{y^n A_n} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n y^i A_{n-i}\right)^2.$$

Proof. By (6.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_1^2 &= \frac{p^2 x^n y(1-x)}{(y-x)^2 A_n} \left(1-x - \frac{(1-y) \sum_{k=0}^n x^k y^{n-k}}{(n+1)x^n}\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{p^2 y(1-x)}{(n+1)^2 x^n (y-x)^2 A_n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (x^k y^{n-k+1} - x^{n+1} - x^k y^{n-k} + x^n)\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{p^2 y(1-x)}{(n+1)^2 x^n (y-x)^2 A_n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n x^k (y^{n+1-k} - x^{n+1-k} - y^{n-k} + x^{n-k})\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{p^2 y(1-x)}{(n+1)^2 x^n A_n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n x^k A_{n-k}\right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

By (6.21), we get the formula for q_2^2 in a similar way. $\square$

Lemma 6.19. For $(x, y) \in \Gamma$, $q_1^2 > q_2^2$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.18,

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_1^2 - q_2^2 &= \left(\frac{p}{n+1}\right)^2 x^{-n} y^{-n} A_n^{-1} (y^{n+1}(1-x) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i A_{n-i}\right)^2 - x^{n+1}(1-y) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n y^i A_{n-i}\right)^2) \\
 &= \left(\frac{p}{n+1}\right)^2 x^{-n} y^{-n} A_n^{-1} ((y^{n+1} - xy^{n+1}) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^{2i} A_{n-i}^2 + 2 \sum_{i<j} x^{i+j} A_{n-i} A_{n-j}\right) \\
 &\quad - (x^{n+1} - x^{n+1}y) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n y^{2i} A_{n-i}^2 + 2 \sum_{i<j} y^{i+j} A_{n-i} A_{n-j}\right)) \\
 &= \left(\frac{p}{n+1}\right)^2 x^{-n} y^{-n} A_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (x^{2i} y^{n+1} - x^{2i+1} y^{n+1} - x^{n+1} y^{2i} + x^{n+1} y^{2i+1}) A_{n-i}^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{i<j} (x^{i+j} y^{n+1} - x^{i+j+1} y^{n+1} - x^{n+1} y^{i+j} + x^{n+1} y^{i+j+1}) A_{n-i} A_{n-j}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim 6.20. $\Pi_k = x^k y^{n+1} - x^{k+1} y^{n+1} - x^{n+1} y^k + x^{n+1} y^{k+1} > 0$, $k = 0, \dots, 2n$.

Proof. For $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi_k &= x^k y^k (y^{n+1-k} - x^{n+1-k}) - x^{k+1} y^{k+1} (y^{n-k} - x^{n-k}) \\
 &> x^k y^k (y^{n+1-k} - x^{n+1-k} - y^{n-k} + x^{n-k}) \\
 &> 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$,

$$\Pi_k = x^{n+1} y^{n+1} (y^{k-n} - x^{k-n} - y^{k-n-1} + x^{k-n-1}) > 0.$$

$\square$

By Claim 6.20 and Corollary 6.17, $q_1^2 - q_2^2 > 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let

$$G(z) = z^{n+1} - z^n.$$

Then $G(0) = G(1) = 0$, and

$$G'(z) = (n+1)z^{n-1}\left(z - \frac{n}{n+1}\right) \begin{cases} < 0, & z \in (0, \frac{n}{n+1}) \\ > 0, & z \in (\frac{n}{n+1}, 1). \end{cases}$$

Given $x \in [0, \frac{n}{n+1}]$, there exists a unique $y_*(x) \in [\frac{n}{n+1}, 1]$ such that $G(y_*(x)) = G(x)$, i.e.,

$$y_*(x)^{n+1} - y_*(x)^n = x^{n+1} - x^n.$$

Clearly, $y_*(x)$ is continuous and decreasing on $[0, \frac{n}{n+1}]$, with $y_*(0) = 1$ and $y_*(\frac{n}{n+1}) = \frac{n}{n+1}$.

Define

$$\Xi_1 = \{(x, 1) : 0 < x \leq 1\}, \quad \Xi_2 = \{(x, x) : \frac{n}{n+1} < x \leq 1\}, \quad \Xi_3 = \{(x, y_*(x)) : 0 \leq x \leq \frac{n}{n+1}\}.$$

Then $\partial\Gamma = \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \cup \Xi_3$. By Lemma 6.18, we have

Lemma 6.21. (1) $On \Xi_1, q_2^2 \equiv 0$.
 (2) $On \Xi_2, q_1^2 \equiv q_2^2$.
 (3) $On \Xi_3, A_n \equiv 0$.

Proof of Proposition 6.15. For $0 \leq a < 1$, let us consider the line $c_a(\tau) = (\tau, \tau + a)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 6.21.1, $q_2^2 = 0$ at $c_a(1-a) = (1-a, 1)$; when τ decreases, $c_a(\tau)$ approaches Ξ_3 , and hence $q_2^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $A_n \rightarrow 0$ (cf. Lemma 6.21.3). Thus given $L_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists the largest real number $\Theta(L_2; a) < 1-a$ such that $q_2^2 = L_2^2$ at $c_a(\Theta(L_2; a)) = (\Theta(L_2; a), \Theta(L_2; a) + a)$. Notice that $\Theta(L_2; a)$ is a continuous function of a , and $\Theta(L_2; a) \rightarrow 0^+$ when $a \rightarrow 1^-$.

We study now the behavior of q_1^2 along the segment $\Theta(L_2; a)$, $0 \leq a < 1$. By Lemma 6.18,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_1^2}{q_2^2} &= \frac{y^{n+1}(1-x)(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i A_{n-i})^2}{x^{n+1}(1-y)(\sum_{i=0}^n y^i A_{n-i})^2} \\ &> \frac{y^{n+1}(1-x) \cdot x^{2n}}{x^{n+1}(1-y)(\sum_{i=0}^n y^i A_{n-i})^2} \\ &= \frac{y^{n+1}(1-x)}{(\sum_{i=0}^n y^i A_{n-i})^2} \cdot \frac{x^{n-1}}{1-y}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y^n - y^{n+1} < x^n - x^{n+1}$, we have $y^n(1-y) < x^n(1-x)$, i.e., $1-y < y^{-n}x^n(1-x)$. Thus

$$\frac{q_1^2}{q_2^2} > \frac{y^{n+1}(1-x)}{(\sum_{i=0}^n y^i A_{n-i})^2} \cdot \frac{x^{n-1}y^n}{x^n(1-x)} = \frac{y^{2n+1}}{(\sum_{i=0}^n y^i A_{n-i})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{x} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } y \rightarrow 1.$$

When $a = 0$, $c_0(\Theta(L_2; 0)) = (\Theta(L_2; 0), \Theta(L_2; 0))$ lies on Ξ_2 . By Lemma 6.21.2, $q_1^2 = q_2^2 = L_2^2$ at $c_0(\Theta(L_2; 0))$. On the other hand, $\Theta(L_2; a)$ is getting closer to 0 when a increases to 1. Thus given $L_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $L_1 > L_2$, the above estimate asserts an $a(L_1; L_2) \in (0, 1)$ such that $q_1^2 = L_1^2$ at $c_{a(L_1; L_2)}(\Theta(L_2; a(L_1; L_2)))$.

In summary, given a pair of integers $L_1 > L_2 > 0$, let q_i^2 be as in Lemma 6.18 with $x = \Theta(L_2; a(L_1; L_2))$ and $y = \Theta(L_2; a(L_1; L_2)) + a(L_1; L_2)$. Then $q_i^2 = L_i^2$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 6.22. As before, it is clear that $b_{ij}(t)$ and $c(t)$ are smooth and even in t around t_k , $k = 1, 2$.

By now, we have checked that all smooth collapse conditions are satisfied, and hence construct a complete positive Einstein metric on the 3-sphere bundle associated with P_q . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Remark 6.23. The Einstein manifold constructed above has volume $C\kappa^n(n+1)^{-1}(s_2^{n+1} - s_1^{n+1})$ (cf. §5.4.2). As pointed out in Remark 1.17, it is hopeless to use this formula to verify whether two Einstein manifolds have the same volume.

6.2. Homeomorphism and diffeomorphism classifications. We specialize now to the case that the base V is the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Let P_q be the principal 2-torus bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with characteristic classes (q_1a, q_2a) , where a is a generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let $E_1 = P_q \times_{S^1 \times S^1} S^3$, $E_2 = P_q \times_{S^1 \times S^1} D^4$, and $E_3 = P_q \times_{S^1 \times S^1} \mathbb{C}^2$ be respectively the standard 3-sphere bundle, 4-ball bundle, and complex plane bundle associated with P_q . Denote by $\pi_i : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ the projection of bundle. There are natural inclusions $j_{kl} : E_k \hookrightarrow E_l$, $k < l$; we have $j_{13} = j_{23} \circ j_{12}$.

6.2.1. Characteristic classes. We calculate here the second Stiefel-Whitney class and the first Pontrjagin class of tangent bundle TE_i . But the starting point is the Chern classes of E_3 .

Lemma 6.24 (Chern class). $c_1(E_3) = (q_1 + q_2)a$ and $c_2(E_3) = q_1q_2a^2$.

Proof. There is a natural splitting $E_3 \cong L_{q_1} \oplus L_{q_2}$, where L_{q_i} is the complex line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with first Chern class q_ia . So the total Chern class of E_3 is

$$c(E_3) = c(L_{q_1}) \cup c(L_{q_2}) = (1 + q_1a) \cup (1 + q_2a) = 1 + (q_1 + q_2)a + q_1q_2a^2.$$

□

It follows from the preceding lemma that the 3-sphere bundle E_1 has Euler class $q_1q_2a^2$. Applying to E_1 the Gysin sequence shows that

Lemma 6.25.

$$\begin{array}{llll} H^0(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} & H^1(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 & H^2(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \pi_1^*a & H^3(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \\ H^4(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_{|q_1q_2|} \cdot (\pi_1^*a)^2 & H^5(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot x & H^6(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 & H^7(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \pi_1^*a \cup x \end{array}$$

Lemma 6.26 (Second Stiefel-Whitney class). $w_2(TE_i) = (1 + q_1 + q_2)\pi_i^*a \pmod{2}$.

Proof. Since $TE_3 \cong \pi_3^*T\mathbb{C}P^2 \oplus \pi_3^*E_3$, the total Stiefel-Whitney class of TE_3 is

$$w(TE_3) = \pi_3^*(w(T\mathbb{C}P^2) \cup w(E_3)).$$

By Lemma 6.24,

$$w_2(TE_3) = \pi_3^*(w_2(T\mathbb{C}P^2) + w_2(E_3)) = \pi_3^*(3a + (q_1 + q_2)a) = (1 + q_1 + q_2)\pi_3^*a \pmod{2}.$$

Since $TE_2 \cong j_{23}^*TE_3$, we have

$$w_2(TE_2) = j_{23}^*w_2(TE_3) = (1 + q_1 + q_2)(\pi_3 \circ j_{23})^*a = (1 + q_1 + q_2)\pi_2^*a \pmod{2}.$$

Finally, to determine $w_2(TE_1)$, we need first to compute $w_2(\ker(d\pi_1))$ as $TE_1 \cong \pi_1^*T\mathbb{C}P^2 \oplus \ker(d\pi_1)$. Notice that $\pi_1^*E_3 \cong \ker(d\pi_1) \oplus \nu^1$, where ν^1 is the rank 1 normal bundle of E_1 in E_3 . So $w_2(\pi_1^*E_3) = w_2(\ker(d\pi_1))$, i.e., $w_2(\ker(d\pi_1)) = \pi_1^*w_2(E_3) = (q_1 + q_2)\pi_1^*a \pmod{2}$ (cf. Lemma 6.24). Thus

$$w_2(TE_1) = \pi_1^*w_2(T\mathbb{C}P^2) + w_2(\ker(d\pi_1)) = \pi_1^*(3a) + (q_1 + q_2)\pi_1^*a = (1 + q_1 + q_2)\pi_1^*a \pmod{2}.$$

□

Corollary 6.27. E_i is spin iff $q_1 + q_2$ is odd.

Lemma 6.28 (First Pontrjagin class). $p_1(TE_i) = (3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)(\pi_i^* a)^2$, $i = 2, 3$.

Proof. By [24, Theorem 15.3], $2(p(TE_3) - p(\pi_3^* T\mathbb{C}P^2) \cup p(\pi_3^* E_3)) = 0$. Since $H^*(E_3; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{C}P^2; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, we have $p(TE_3) = \pi_3^*(p(T\mathbb{C}P^2) \cup p(E_3))$. By [24, Corollary 15.5] and Lemma 6.24, $p_1(E_3) = c_1(E_3)^2 - 2c_2(E_3) = (q_1^2 + q_2^2)a^2$. Therefore

$$p_1(TE_3) = \pi_3^*(p_1(T\mathbb{C}P^2) + p_1(E_3)) = \pi_3^*(3a^2 + (q_1^2 + q_2^2)a^2) = (3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)(\pi_3^* a)^2.$$

Moreover, $p_1(TE_2) = j_{23}^* p_1(TE_3) = (3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)(\pi_2^* a)^2$. $\square$

In addition to $j_{23} : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$, there are three more inclusions. The first one is $j_{30} : E_3 \rightarrow (E_3, E_3^0)$, where E_3^0 is the set of all non-zero vectors in E_3 . The second one is $j_{21} : E_2 \rightarrow (E_2, E_1)$, which induces a monomorphism $j_{21}^* : H^4(E_2, E_1; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(E_2; \mathbb{Z})$ since $H^3(E_1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The third one is $j_{10} : (E_2, E_1) \rightarrow (E_3, E_3^0)$, which induces an isomorphism $j_{10}^* : H^4(E_3, E_3^0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(E_2, E_1; \mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 6.29. We have $j_{10} \circ j_{21} = j_{30} \circ j_{23} : E_2 \rightarrow (E_3, E_3^0)$.

Lemma 6.30. Let $U \in H^4(E_3, E_3^0; \mathbb{Z})$ be the Thom class. Then $j_{21}^* j_{10}^* U = q_1 q_2 (\pi_2^* a)^2$.

Proof. By definition, E_3 has Euler class $e(E_3) = (\pi_3^*)^{-1}(j_{30}^* U)$. So $(j_{30} \circ j_{23})^* U = (\pi_3 \circ j_{23})^*(e(E_3))$. By Remark 6.29, we have $(j_{10} \circ j_{21})^* U = \pi_2^*(e(E_3))$. The lemma follows now from Lemma 6.24. $\square$

6.2.2. Kreck-Stolz invariants. The 3-sphere bundle E_1 is a simply-connected closed 7-manifold with integral cohomology ring of the type $H^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $H^3 = 0$, and H^4 being a cyclic group of finite order generated by the square of a generator of H^2 (cf. Lemma 6.25). Kreck and Stolz [17, 18] were able to define a triple of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued invariants to determine the homeomorphism and diffeomorphism types of this class of manifolds as follows.

Given a smooth 7-manifold X as above, let x be a generator of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. By [18, Proposition 2.2], we can find an 8-manifold Y with $y \in H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\partial Y = X$, y restricts to x on X , $w_2(Y) = 0$ when X is spin, and $w_2(Y) = y \pmod{2}$ when X is non-spin. Since $H^3(X; \mathbb{Q}) = H^4(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, we have $H^4(Y, X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^4(Y; \mathbb{Q})$. Via this isomorphism, we can regard y^2 and $p_1(TY)$ as elements of $H^4(Y, X; \mathbb{Q})$, and evaluate their products on the relative fundamental class $[Y, X]$. By abuse of notation, we write $y^4 = \langle y^4, [Y, X] \rangle$, $p_1^2 = \langle p_1(TY)^2, [Y, X] \rangle$, and $y^2 p_1 = \langle y^2 \cup p_1(TY), [Y, X] \rangle$. Denote by $\text{sign}(Y)$ the signature of Y .

Definition 6.31. (1) In the spin case, define

$$s_1(Y, y) = \frac{p_1^2}{896} - \frac{\text{sign}(Y)}{224}, \quad s_2(Y, y) = \frac{y^4}{24} - \frac{y^2 p_1}{48}, \quad s_3(Y, y) = \frac{2}{3} y^4 - \frac{y^2 p_1}{12}.$$

(2) In the non-spin case, define

$$s_1(Y, y) = \frac{y^4}{384} - \frac{y^2 p_1}{192} + \frac{p_1^2}{896} - \frac{\text{sign}(Y)}{224}, \quad s_2(Y, y) = \frac{5}{24} y^4 - \frac{y^2 p_1}{24}, \quad s_3(Y, y) = \frac{13}{8} y^4 - \frac{y^2 p_1}{8}.$$

By [18, Proposition 2.5], $s_i(Y, y) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ depends only on (X, x) . So we define $s_i(X, x) = s_i(Y, y) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. Moreover, $s_i(X, x)$ is independent of the choice of a generator of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. This leads to

Definition 6.32 (Kreck-Stolz invariants). $s_i(X) = s_i(X, x)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The next theorem is due to Kreck and Stolz [17, 18] (see [19, Theorem 1] for the correct formulation).

Theorem 6.33. *Let X_1 and X_2 be smooth 7-manifolds of the above type such that $|H^4(X_1; \mathbb{Z})| = |H^4(X_2; \mathbb{Z})|$ which are both spin or both non-spin. Then X_1 is diffeomorphic (homeomorphic) to X_2 iff $s_i(X_1) = s_i(X_2)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (resp. $28s_1(X_1) = 28s_1(X_2)$ and $s_i(X_1) = s_i(X_2)$ for $i = 2, 3$).*

6.2.3. *Calculation of Kreck-Stolz invariants associated with E_1 .* By Lemma 6.25, $x = \pi_1^*a$ is a generator of $H^2(E_1; \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 6.34. *E_2 , together with $y = \pi_2^*a$, qualifies as the required zero bordism.*

Proof. Clearly $x = j_{12}^*y$ for the inclusion $j_{12} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$. The lemma follows now from Lemma 6.26. $\square$

Remark 6.35. With rational coefficients, $j_{21}^* : H^4(E_2, E_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^4(E_2; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism. By Lemma 6.30, the image of the Thom class in $H^4(E_2, E_1; \mathbb{Q})$ is $j_{10}^*U = q_1q_2(j_{21}^*)^{-1}(y^2)$.

Lemma 6.36. *The involved relative characteristic numbers are*

$$y^4 = \frac{1}{q_1q_2}, \quad y^2p_1 = \frac{3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2}{q_1q_2}, \quad p_1^2 = \frac{(3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)^2}{q_1q_2}.$$

Proof. Since $(j_{21}^*)^{-1}(y^2) = (q_1q_2)^{-1}j_{10}^*U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^4 &= \langle (j_{21}^*)^{-1}(y^2) \cup (j_{21}^*)^{-1}(y^2), [E_2, E_1] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{q_1q_2} \langle (j_{21}^*)^{-1}(y^2) \cup j_{10}^*U, [E_2, E_1] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{q_1q_2} \langle a^2, [\mathbb{C}P^2] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{q_1q_2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.28, $p_1(TE_2) = (3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)y^2$. So

$$p_1^2 = (3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)^2 y^4 = \frac{(3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)^2}{q_1q_2}, \quad y^2p_1 = (3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)y^4 = \frac{3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2}{q_1q_2}.$$

$\square$

Corollary 6.37. *$\text{sign}(E_2) = \text{sgn}(q_1q_2)$.*

Corollary 6.38. *The Kreck-Stolz invariants associated with E_1 are*

(1) *In the spin case, i.e., when $q_1 + q_2$ is odd,*

$$s_1(E_1) = \frac{(3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)^2}{896q_1q_2} - \frac{\text{sgn}(q_1q_2)}{224}, \quad s_2(E_1) = -\frac{1 + q_1^2 + q_2^2}{48q_1q_2}, \quad s_3(E_1) = \frac{5 - q_1^2 - q_2^2}{12q_1q_2}.$$

(2) *In the non-spin case, i.e., when $q_1 + q_2$ is even,*

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(E_1) &= \frac{1}{384q_1q_2} - \frac{3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2}{192q_1q_2} + \frac{(3 + q_1^2 + q_2^2)^2}{896q_1q_2} - \frac{\text{sgn}(q_1q_2)}{224}, \quad s_2(E_1) = \frac{2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2}{24q_1q_2}, \\ s_3(E_1) &= \frac{10 - q_1^2 - q_2^2}{8q_1q_2}. \end{aligned}$$

6.2.4. *Classifications.* Assume that E_1 and $\widehat{E}_1$ are respectively the 3-sphere bundles associated with P_q and $P_{\widehat{q}}$. By Theorem 6.33 and Lemma 6.25, a necessary condition for E_1 homeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$ is $|q_1 q_2| = |\widehat{q}_1 \widehat{q}_2|$.

Proposition 6.39. *Assume $q_1 q_2 = -\widehat{q}_1 \widehat{q}_2$. Then E_1 is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to $\widehat{E}_1$ iff $|q_1 q_2| = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $q_1 q_2 = -\widehat{q}_1 \widehat{q}_2 = 1$. So $q_1^2 + q_2^2 = \widehat{q}_1^2 + \widehat{q}_2^2 = 2$. By Corollary 6.38, $s_i(E_1) - s_i(\widehat{E}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. By Theorem 6.33, E_1 is diffeomorphic, and hence homeomorphic, to $\widehat{E}_1$. Conversely, suppose $M = q_1 q_2 = -\widehat{q}_1 \widehat{q}_2$ and E_1 is homeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$. There are two cases.

When E_1 and $\widehat{E}_1$ are spin, i.e., $q_1 + q_2$ and $\widehat{q}_1 + \widehat{q}_2$ are odd, we see that M is even. By Theorem 6.33 and Corollary 6.38.1,

$$s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) = -\frac{2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + \widehat{q}_1^2 + \widehat{q}_2^2}{48M} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad s_3(E_1) - s_3(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{10 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - \widehat{q}_1^2 - \widehat{q}_2^2}{12M} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

i.e., $2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + \widehat{q}_1^2 + \widehat{q}_2^2 = 48Mk$ and $10 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - \widehat{q}_1^2 - \widehat{q}_2^2 = 12Ml$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $12 = 48Mk + 12Ml$, i.e., $1 = M(4k + l)$, and hence $M = \pm 1$. This gives a contradiction as M is even.

When E_1 and $\widehat{E}_1$ are non-spin, i.e., $q_1 + q_2$ and $\widehat{q}_1 + \widehat{q}_2$ are even, it follows from Theorem 6.33 and Corollary 6.38.2 that

$$s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{4 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - \widehat{q}_1^2 - \widehat{q}_2^2}{24M} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad s_3(E_1) - s_3(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{20 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - \widehat{q}_1^2 - \widehat{q}_2^2}{8M} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

i.e., $4 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - \widehat{q}_1^2 - \widehat{q}_2^2 = 24M\widehat{k}$ and $20 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - \widehat{q}_1^2 - \widehat{q}_2^2 = 8M\widehat{l}$ for $\widehat{k}, \widehat{l} \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $16 = 8M\widehat{l} - 24M\widehat{k}$, i.e., $2 = M(\widehat{l} - 3\widehat{k})$, and hence $M = \pm 1$ or ± 2 . If $M = \pm 2$, then q_1 and q_2 have to be even. So M is divisible by 4. This gives a contradiction. If $M = \pm 1$, then $q_1^2 + q_2^2 = \widehat{q}_1^2 + \widehat{q}_2^2 = 2$. By Corollary 6.38.2, $s_1(E_1) = s_1(\widehat{E}_1) = 0$. By Theorem 6.33, E_1 is diffeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$. $\square$

From now on, we assume $M = q_1 q_2 = \widehat{q}_1 \widehat{q}_2$. Let $N = q_1^2 + q_2^2$ and $\widehat{N} = \widehat{q}_1^2 + \widehat{q}_2^2$.

Lemma 6.40. $q_1 + q_2 \equiv q_1^2 + q_2^2 \pmod{2}$.

Proof. Clearly $q_1 + q_2 \equiv (q_1 + q_2)^2 = q_1^2 + q_2^2 + 2q_1 q_2 \equiv q_1^2 + q_2^2 \pmod{2}$. $\square$

Let us consider first the spin case, i.e., $N \equiv \widehat{N} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. By Corollary 6.38.1,

$$s_1(E_1) - s_1(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{(6 + N + \widehat{N})(N - \widehat{N})}{896M}, \quad s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{\widehat{N} - N}{48M}, \quad s_3(E_1) - s_3(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{\widehat{N} - N}{12M}.$$

Proposition 6.41. *In the spin case, E_1 is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to $\widehat{E}_1$ iff $N \equiv \widehat{N} \pmod{48M}$ (and $N + (\frac{N+1}{2})^2 \equiv \widehat{N} + (\frac{\widehat{N}+1}{2})^2 \pmod{224M}$).*

Proof. If E_1 is homeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$, then $s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $\widehat{N} \equiv N \pmod{48M}$. Conversely, if $\widehat{N} \equiv N \pmod{48M}$, i.e., $\widehat{N} - N = 48Mk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s_3(E_1) - s_3(\widehat{E}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $28(s_1(E_1) - s_1(\widehat{E}_1)) = -3k(3 + \frac{N+\widehat{N}}{2}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $N \equiv \widehat{N} \pmod{2}$. By Theorem 6.33, E_1 is homeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$.

For E_1 diffeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$, we need furthermore

$$s_1(E_1) - s_1(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{N + (\frac{N+1}{2})^2 - \widehat{N} - (\frac{\widehat{N}+1}{2})^2}{224M} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which is equivalent to $N + (\frac{N+1}{2})^2 \equiv \widehat{N} + (\frac{\widehat{N}+1}{2})^2 \pmod{224M}$. $\square$

We turn now to the non-spin case, i.e., $N \equiv \widehat{N} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. By Corollary 6.38.2,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(E_1) - s_1(\widehat{E}_1) &= \frac{(6 + N + \widehat{N})(N - \widehat{N})}{896M} + \frac{\widehat{N} - N}{192M}, & s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) &= \frac{\widehat{N} - N}{24M}, \\ s_3(E_1) - s_3(\widehat{E}_1) &= \frac{\widehat{N} - N}{8M}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.42. *In the non-spin case, E_1 is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to $\widehat{E}_1$ iff $N \equiv \widehat{N} \pmod{24M}$ (and $N + 3(\frac{N}{2})^2 \equiv \widehat{N} + 3(\frac{\widehat{N}}{2})^2 \pmod{672M}$).*

Proof. If E_1 is homeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$, then $s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $\widehat{N} \equiv N \pmod{24M}$. Conversely, if $\widehat{N} \equiv N \pmod{24M}$, i.e., $\widehat{N} - N = 24Mk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $s_2(E_1) - s_2(\widehat{E}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s_3(E_1) - s_3(\widehat{E}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $28(s_1(E_1) - s_1(\widehat{E}_1)) = -k(1 + \frac{3}{2}N + 18Mk) \in \mathbb{Z}$ as N is even. By Theorem 6.33, E_1 is homeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$.

For E_1 diffeomorphic to $\widehat{E}_1$, we need furthermore

$$s_1(E_1) - s_1(\widehat{E}_1) = \frac{N + 3(\frac{N}{2})^2 - \widehat{N} - 3(\frac{\widehat{N}}{2})^2}{672M} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which is equivalent to $N + 3(\frac{N}{2})^2 \equiv \widehat{N} + 3(\frac{\widehat{N}}{2})^2 \pmod{672M}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Theorem 1.13 follows from Propositions 6.39, 6.41, and 6.42. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work forms part of the author's PhD thesis. He is grateful to his supervisor, Prof. M. Y. Wang, for his consistent help and inspiration underlying all of the work. He wishes to thank Drs. J. Cuadros, A. S. Dancer, C. R. Graham, P. Guan, M. Min-Oo, A. J. Nicas and the anonymous referee for their interests in this paper and many very valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. M. T. Anderson, *Geometric aspects of the AdS/CFT correspondence*, AdS/CFT correspondence: Einstein metrics and their conformal boundaries, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., vol. 8, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005, pp. 1–31.
2. ———, *Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on 4-manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **18** (2008), no. 2, 305–366.
3. L. Bérard-Bergery, *Sur de nouvelles variétés riemanniennes d'Einstein*, Inst. Élie Cartan, vol. 6, Univ. Nancy, Nancy, 1982, pp. 1–60.
4. A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
5. C. Böhm, M. Wang, and W. Ziller, *A variational approach for compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004), no. 4, 681–733.
6. S. Brendle, *Global existence and convergence for a higher order flow in conformal geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **158** (2003), no. 1, 323–343.
7. S.-Y. A. Chang and P. C. Yang, *Extremal metrics of zeta function determinants on 4-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **142** (1995), no. 1, 171–212.
8. D. Chen, *Construction of conformally compact Einstein manifolds*, arXiv:0908.1430v2, 2009.

9. Z. Djadli and A. Malchiodi, *Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **168** (2008), no. 3, 813–858.
10. J.-H. Eschenburg and M. Y. Wang, *The initial value problem for cohomogeneity one Einstein metrics*, J. Geom. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 1, 109–137.
11. C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *Q -curvature and Poincaré metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), 139–151.
12. G. W. Gibbons, S. A. Hartnoll, and Y. Yasui, *Properties of some five-dimensional Einstein metrics*, Classical Quantum Gravity **21** (2004), no. 19, 4697–4730.
13. C. R. Graham, *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics*, The Proceedings of the 19th Winter School “Geometry and Physics” (Srní, 1999), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl., no. 63, 2000, pp. 31–42.
14. C. R. Graham and M. Zworski, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), no. 1, 89–118.
15. Y. Hashimoto, M. Sakaguchi, and Y. Yasui, *New infinite series of Einstein metrics on sphere bundles from AdS black holes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **257** (2005), no. 2, 273–285.
16. N. Koiso and Y. Sakane, *Nonhomogeneous Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact complex manifolds*, Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds (Katata, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1201, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 165–179.
17. M. Kreck and S. Stolz, *A diffeomorphism classification of 7-dimensional homogeneous Einstein manifolds with $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ -symmetry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), no. 2, 373–388.
18. ———, *Some nondiffeomorphic homeomorphic homogeneous 7-manifolds with positive sectional curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 2, 465–486.
19. ———, *A correction on: “Some nondiffeomorphic homeomorphic homogeneous 7-manifolds with positive sectional curvature”*, J. Differential Geom. **49** (1998), no. 1, 203–204.
20. B. Kruggel, *Homeomorphism and diffeomorphism classification of Eschenburg spaces*, Q. J. Math. **56** (2005), no. 4, 553–577.
21. J. Lauret, *Finding Einstein solvmanifolds by a variational method*, Math. Z. **241** (2002), no. 1, 83–99.
22. J. Lott and Z. Shen, *Manifolds with quadratic curvature decay and slow volume growth*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **33** (2000), no. 2, 275–290.
23. H. Lü, D. N. Page, and C. N. Pope, *New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein-Kähler manifolds*, Phys. Lett. B **593** (2004), no. 1–4, 218–226.
24. J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 76, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974.
25. C. B. Ndiaye, *Constant Q -curvature metrics in arbitrary dimension*, J. Funct. Anal. **251** (2007), no. 1, 1–58.
26. D. N. Page, *A compact rotating gravitational instanton*, Phys. Lett. B **79** (1978), no. 3, 235–238.
27. D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *Inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on complex line bundles*, Class. Quantum Grav. **4** (1987), 213–225.
28. N. Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Reprint of the 1957 ed., Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.
29. J. Wang and M. Y. Wang, *Einstein metrics on S^2 -bundles*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), no. 3, 497–526.
30. M. Y. Wang, *Einstein metrics from symmetry and bundle constructions*, Surveys in differential geometry: essays on Einstein manifolds, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1999, pp. 287–325.
31. M. Y. Wang and W. Ziller, *Einstein metrics on principal torus bundles*, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 1, 215–248.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, M5S 2E4, CANADA
E-mail address: dchen@math.utoronto.ca